=HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE=

- Α. We went to a door, I think it was the southwest door at the end when you turn to the right coming onto the landing, and we went up to the door on the corner that would be the door to the apartment to the rear, and Detective Romero tried the keys in the lock that he recovered from the second floor apartment. Referring to these keys (indicating)? Q. Yes, sir. Α. Q. Is this the lock you put the key in?
 - Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Did it turn? Ο.
- Yes, sir. Α.
- Please tell the members of the jury what Q. occurred when Detective Romero attempted to open the southwest apartment door with the key?
- Once we realized that it was working and it Α. was the key for the apartment, we started knocking on the door. We yelled at the police that were at the door that we were at the door. The supervisors were there. They saw --

THE COURT: You can't say what the supervisors saw. Just what you saw, what you heard, what you did.

I was standing there with several people and I started yelling police. I heard other people yelling

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We waited there. Detective Romero turned the key, pushed the door open. Um, it was pitch dark. Couldn't see anything in the room, nothing. There was no light There was nothing on in the room. He closed the door back again and then left and went downstairs. He returned back with one of the police stick shields, one of the bunkers and a flashlight. Once I was ready, he opened the door and several -- myself and Detective Romero and several other members of my team entered into the room, into that apartment. He put on a flashlight, turned into the apartment to the right and started yelling -- we all started yelling, police, don't move. At that point, we observed there was an individual sitting on a sofa, on a couch to the right of the entry on the sofa not saying anything. Yelled again for the person to get down on the ground. The person did not move. We went in. We found a light switch, turned it on, and secured the individual in the apartment.

Q. Just to back up one moment. How big was this room --

THE COURT: Is this one of the minuscule rooms we have talked about so much so often?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Fine. Tiny room, he says.

--HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE=

- Q. In relation to the jury box, would you say bigger, smaller, the same size?
- A. About the size of this, from the end from where the wall is to about the end here. Almost the same in width, maybe a little thinner. The passageway was very small to walk in.
- Q. Do you recall how many windows were in this room?
 - A. One, if I remember correctly.
- Q. You said it was pitch black. The shades were down?
- A. Shades were down and black garbage bags over the glass.
 - Q. Did this room have a closet?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Can you explain the layout of the room?
- A. As soon as you go in, there is a closet immediately to your left and the rest of the room goes to your right. At the end of it, there's a window.
- Q. Now, you said at the time the lights went on, you saw an individual sitting, not moving, on the couch; is that right?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Did you come to learn that individual's name?
- A. Yes, sir.

se 1:14-cv-0	2073-RJS-KNF Document 13-8 Filed 12/19/14 Page 4 of 150
	305
	HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE
Q.	What was that individuals name?
Α.	Mr. Edward Green.
Q.	Do you see Edward Green in the courtroom here
today?	
А.	Yes, sir.
Q.	Can you point to him and indicate an article
of cloth	ing?
Α.	To my right. He has a a beige-colored shirt
and bear	d and eyeglass case sticking out of his shirt

THE COURT: So that's the defendant, Mr. Green.

- Is there anyone else in the room at this time Q. with the defendant?
 - No, sir. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pocket.

- Q. Please explain exactly how the defendant was sitting when you entered the room.
 - Α. Just sitting there, not saying anything.
- Please tell the members of the jury everything you observed inside of this room once the light switch went on.
- Okay, the minute the lights went on and we secured Mr. Green to make sure that everyone was safe and we checked the closet to make sure no one was in there, immediately in the closet, I observed two safes,

HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE

two land special special special fireparts of the special spec

two large safes in the closet. Throughout the room, specifically, there was a fireplace, like a mantel, fireplace mantel on it was several boxes of baggies, clear plastic baggies a bottle of Peroxide, box of baking soda. On the TV stand above that was a DVD player and above that was a monitor, like a small monitor I've observed in the past. There was a small black digital scale sitting on the stand by where the Towards the rear by the window, there was a metal tin. In the tin, there were a whole bunch of small, clear plastic baggies, some of them with the Red Apple label on it and a pink Philly box, similar to the ones observed downstairs on the second floor, sitting on an end table. Those were the things that immediately, upon entry to the apartment, I observed.

- Q. Did you notice in the apartment a table that would be used to process or package narcotics?
- A. Yes, sir. As soon as you went into the apartment, basically a little to your left, next to the closet door, there was a glass table. On the glass table was, again, cocaine residue --

MR. KEITH: Objection.

THE COURT: Why do you think it is cocaine residue?

=YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER =

THE WITNESS: Because it was consistent

24

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

-HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE:

with the appearance of cocaine and of cocaine residue that I observed hundreds of times in the past.

THE COURT: Overruled.

Go ahead.

- A. Underneath that was a heat sealer utilized to seal plastic, underneath that table.
- Q. When you say a heat sealer utilized to seal plastic, take a look at the exhibit in front of you. Are those bags heat sealed closed?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. You would need a heat sealer like the one found in the fourth floor to seal bags found on the second floor?
 - A. That is correct, sir.
- Q. In total, in this room, do you know how many digital scales were recovered?
- A. I think ten, approximately ten digital scales were recovered.
 - Q. Were these scales different shapes and sizes?
- A. Yes, sir. There -- they were all digital scales and they varied from small black digital scales to large scales of multiple colors. Some of them were used and some of them were brand-new.
 - Q. Were these scales --

=HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE= 1 THE COURT: Do we have them? 2 MR. BERLAND: I'm going to get to them. 3 THE COURT: Then we do not have to test 4 his memory. What's next? 5 Heat sealers, let's talk about that. You testified to observing a heat sealer on the ground. How 6 7 many heat sealers recovered within the room? 8 MR. KEITH: Objection to the form. 9 THE COURT: On what grounds? 10 MR. KEITH: I think he can be a little 11 more specific as to where the heat sealers were 12 found. 13 THE COURT: How many heat sealers did you 14 see? 15 THE WITNESS: Three, sir. One was 16 underneath the table, and I think -- there's notes 17 on the other one. I think one was in a closet and 18 one on the end table. 19 We'll get to the closet in a minute? Q. 20 MR. KEITH: One in the end table. 21 THE WITNESS: I think so. I'm not 22 hundred percent sure. 23 THE COURT: We'll spend time until we get 24 to that, but let's get to it somehow, some day, 25 today. =YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER =

=HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE= 1 MR. BERLAND: Getting there, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: But not fast enough, but 3 that's my problem. Go ahead. 4 Can you describe the characteristics of all 5 the plastic bags you observed inside the back room? 6 know you testified to recovering plastic bags. 7 Α. They were clear plastic bags, like sandwich 8 type bags, in boxes and there were multiple, hundreds 9 of thousands of small Ziploc bags which have the Apple 10 logo on it and numerous other bags of different sizes. You testified a few moments ago about 11 0. 12 observing on a mantel baking soda? 13 Α. Yes, sir. 14 As a trained narcotics detective, what is the 15 significance of the presence of this types of products 16 in a room such as this room? 17 MR. KEITH: Objection. 18 THE COURT: Sustained as to form. 19 What are the things used for? What can 20 they be used for regarding drugs as opposed to 21 bleaching your hair and cleaning wounds? 22 THE WITNESS: Mixing items, such as 23 baking soda, use liquids to cut, to mix the baking 24 soda with the cocaine so that, one, you can lower

25

YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER =

the concentration and purity of the cocaine, the

HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE

strength of it, and so you can increase the amount of viable substance, a mixed cocaine substance that you have for sale. It increases the quantity you have available.

- Q. What exactly is a kilo wrapper?
- A. We call it a kilo wrapper because it's wrapped in the shape of a brick which is commonly the shape of a brick of kilo, thousand grams of cocaine. It's pressed into a brick form, and the wrappers, when they are recovered, they are in the brick form still with residue.
- Q. Any kilo wrappers recovered within the fourth floor room?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Approximately how many, if you recall?
- A. At least two.
- Q. And where were these wrappers located?
- A. Inside a black garbage bag.
- Q. Let's talk about the closet in the room that you mentioned earlier.

You testified the closet was open. Did you do that or somebody else?

A. No, the closet door was open, but I went and pushed it open further to make sure that there was no one hiding inside.

-HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE=

- Q. When you say "it was open," do you mean it was open when you and your team entered the fourth floor or you observed someone open it?
- A. That when we went there, it wasn't locked, and it was pulled open by Detective Romero since he had the bunker, and then I looked into it to make sure there was no one inside. It wasn't so big that somebody could hide on top of the safes, but we wanted to make sure.
- Q. You just said a safe. How many safes in the closet?
 - A. Two of them.

- Q. Anything else in closet other than the two safes?
- A. Yes, there were other what we call drug paraphernalia or packaging equipment. A lot of the clear plastic bags with the Apple label, a couple of boxes containing plastic bags in it, in the closet, literally around the closet. I think there were digital scales in there also.
 - Q. Were the scales inside the safe?
 - A. Outside.
 - Q. But in the closet?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Can you describe the two safes to the members

=HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE=

	of	the	jury?
11	~ _	0	J ~~ , •

- A. Yes. One was a white -- they're both fire retardant safes with combination, spindle dials on the front of them. They were pretty large and heavy. One was white and one was gray.
- Q. Now, did these safes have a key lock or combination to enter the safe?
 - A. They had the combination spindle wheel on it.
 - Q. Just out of curiosity --

THE COURT: Curiosity? Where in the rules of evidence is curiosity? Do you want to ask a question? Do not give me that kind of a lead in because I likely would say no. You want curiosity, go have a beer with the witness sometime.

- Q. Detective Hernandez, did you observe the combination to a lock on Mr. Green's hand?
 - A. Did I observe it?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. Not that I can recall.
- Q. Did you observe, if you can recall, a combination to a lock on his forehead?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. What did you do once you realized there were the two safes in the closet?

-HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE =

- A. Well, they were, as all the other items, everything was -- everything was left where it was, safeguarded. When we had permission to enter it, we took them out and broke them open --
 - Q. I'm going stop you. I apologize.

2.0

MR. KEITH: Your Honor, the witness should be allowed to finish the answer to the question. That was pretty good.

THE COURT: I agree that we stop and start. He's correct, that while I haven't told the jury that there's not one thing related to the mechanics and legality of executing search warrants that you have anything to do with, he is entitled to establish from his standpoint that they had another warrant to do this and forgot to do that.

Before you smashed open the safe, Detective Romero came down and got a search warrant?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

- A. We used a sledge hammer and Kelly tool, and used it on the two safes to open the safes.
- Q. When Detective Romero came down to this building to get a supplemental search warrant, what were you doing in the fourth floor apartment?

-HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE

- A. Hanging out. I was basically just standing around killing time.
 - Q. Securing the property?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- A. Yes, sir, and safeguarding the room until we heard from Detective Romero.
- Q. Let's get back into smashing the safes. Continue.
- Α. We opened up both safes. In the gray safe, the one that was a little bit bigger was a white I would describe it as baby gift bag that you put gifts in. there was three plastic bags, each containing a large chunk of cocaine. That was in the gray safe. In the white safe there were several items. There was a couple more Philly cigar boxes, there was actually two, I think a Dutch Master cigar box and Philly blunt cigar box. One of the boxes contained about \$1,100 in United States currency and also several quantities of packaged The other box, the Dutch Masters box had a couple of bags of cocaine. The smaller one, the Philly box had the cocaine sealed up and ready for sale, packaged.
- Q. Did there come a time when you were inside of the fourth floor apartment that the defendant was placed under arrest?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- HERNANDEZ DIRECT PEOPLE 1 Was any money recovered from him? Q. 2 Yes, sir. Α. 3 Do you recall how much? 0. 4 I think it was about \$330, somewhere Α. 5 around \$330. 6 Now, inside of baby bag, you said there were Q. 7 three large chunks of cocaine? 8 Α. Yes, sir. 9 Ο. Did you later come to learn the weight of the 10 chunks? Yes, sir. 11 Α. 12 What would that be? 0. 13 Each was about 125 grams, maybe off by 125, 14 126 and 125. They came out to close to 375, 400 grams 15 of cocaine. 16 MR. BERLAND: This is People's Exhibit 5 17 for identification. 18 What is this? Ο. 19 Α. The heat sealers recovered in that room, that 2.0 apartment. 21 0. Again, where were the heat sealers recovered? 22 I know one was underneath the glass top in the 23
 - A. I know one was underneath the glass top in the right when you came into the apartment on a desk. I would have to take a look at the notes to see where I recovered the other ones.

24

31
HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE
Q. That would refresh your recollection?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Take a look what's marked 101 for
identification?
MR. KEITH: May I have a moment with the
prosecutor?
THE COURT: Sure. What's the difficulty?
It's only being used to refresh his recollection.
MR. KEITH: Let's approach.
Q. The question was, do you recall where the
additional four heat sealers were located within the
apartment?
A. Yes, sir.
MR. KEITH: Your Honor, the detective is
reading from something. Can we have that
explained?
THE COURT: Are these notes you created
or somebody else created?
THE WITNESS: Both, Your Honor.
MR. KEITH: I object to him reading from
it.
THE COURT: Technically, you're
absolutely correct. He should not read it. He

should look at it, put it down, see if it

=YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER =

refreshes his recollection. If it doesn't, he can

=HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE:

pick it up and take another look at it. That is the rule, which he's perfectly entitled to.

- Q. Now, that you have looked at it, does that document refresh your recollection?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Where were the other heat sealers located?
- A. In the top drawer of the end table, which was right next to the glass top, in that end table itself.
 - Q. Were there three, two, more there?
- A. The one that was right next to the end table on the floor underneath the glass top and the one in the end table right next to the glass top on the top drawer.
- Q. In total, how many heat sealers were recovered in the apartment?
 - A. Three.

THE COURT: Where do you buy a heat sealer? People know about buying plastic bags, Peroxide.

THE WITNESS: Several locations. You can buy a heat sealer at any appliance store, like a Walmart, but in Manhattan, especially, any small grocery stores where they sell packaging, digital scales, they also sell heat sealers.

Q. Before you take a look at the digital scale, I

______YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER ______

=HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE=

ask that that exhibit be moved into evidence? 1 2 MR. KEITH: 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

I object. I don't think a sufficient connection has been made.

THE COURT: We can wait for Detective Romero. We'll suspend with that one.

- Take a look at People's Exhibit 6, please. Q.
- Α. Yes, sir.
- What is that? Ο.
- Α. These are some, most of the digital scales recovered in that apartment on the fourth floor.
- Q. How many digital scales contained within People's Exhibit 6, if you know?
 - Α. I think's two of them in here.
- 0. Did you recover the items within the apartment?
 - Α. Yes, sir.
 - Ο. Where in the room were the items located?
- Several locations. They were recovered in small Philly boxes on an end table by the window. They were recovered in the closet. Most of them were recovered in the closet in the apartment.

THE COURT: The punctuation of your last sentence confused me. When you talk about end table by the window, is it end table, and also by a window or the end table which was by the window?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

=HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE = When you are talking about an end table by the window, is that describing the location of one scale or two sales? THE WITNESS: The end table by the window and it's two scales, Your Honor. MR. KEITH: The two scales are inside the end table? THE WITNESS: No. One of the Philly boxes on top of the end table. 0. Did you personally recover these items? Yes, sir. Α.

- What did you do with the items after Q. recovering them?
- They were safeguarded by myself and I went with Detective Romero once he returned with all the property back to my command.
- As far as the items contained within the Q. exhibit, did you do the voucher?
- In this exhibit, no. I think Detective Romero did them, but I did not.

MR. BERLAND: Your Honor, at this time, I offer People's Exhibit 6 into evidence.

THE COURT: Mr. Keith --

MR. BERLAND: Actually, one more question.

-HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE:

Q. The items contained within People's Exhibit
section are the same or substantially the same
condition as they were when you recovered them on
November 1, 2007?

A. Yes, sir.

2.0

MR. BERLAND: I ask that they be offered into evidence.

MR. KEITH: No objection.

THE COURT: Number 6 is received. We'll mark it more formally later.

(People's Exhibit 6 was received in evidence.)

- Q. What is that in front of you?
- A. This is the two cameras that were recovered from the building, which were the cameras being utilized in the surveillance of the front of the doorway and the hallway, the stairwell, and a small black digital scale which was recovered on the TV stand, on the entertainment center or where the TV was and monitor was.
 - Q. The TV stand, was it enclosed or open?
 - A. Open.
 - Q. Where on the stand was the scale located?
 - A. There were some CDs, DVDs and on top of that.
 - Q. Was the TV monitor on or off when you entered

<u>-YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER = </u>

	HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE
1	the apartment?
2	A. The monitors were off.
3	Q. Did you personally take down the cameras
4	located outside of the building and in the stairwell?
5	A. No, sir.
6	Q. Did there come a time they were given to you?
7	A. Yes, sir.
8	Q. Do you know if you vouchered that evidence?
9	A. I assisted in the vouchering of this evidence.
10	Q. Did the contents of People's Exhibit 7 fairly
11	and accurately depict in the same condition as they
12	were when you vouchered them on November 1, 2007?
13	A. Yes, sir.
14	MR. BERLAND: I ask that People's 7 be
15	moved into evidence.
16	MR. KEITH: Brief voir dire.
17	THE COURT: Sure.
18	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY
19	MR. KEITH:
20	Q. With regard to the TV and monitor in the
21	fourth floor apartment, it's correct that you never saw
22	those items in working condition?
23	A. I saw the TV screen the T.V. was in working
24	condition and the monitor was working, but had static
25	on it.

=HERNANDEZ -	VOIR	DIRE -	DEFENSE
--------------	------	--------	---------

1	Q. When you say "had static," you couldn't see
2	anything?
3	A. Yeah, it was just a white screen.
4	Q. With regard to the TV, what were you able to
5	see on the TV screen?
6	A. The fact that it was connected to a DVD
7	player. The DVD logo came on.
8	Q. So, is it fair to conclude, just being
9	precise, if I understood you correctly, you just saw a
10	white screen on the monitor, and you were unable to
11	discern that the TV was connected to a DVD player?
12	A. That is correct.
13	THE COURT: Is this as you entered the
14	first time?
15	THE WITNESS: This is while I was at the
16	location and after being there for some time
17	turned them on.
18	THE COURT: Did you see anything as a
19	light source, however faint, when you and
20	Detective Romero opened the door for the first
21	time?
22	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
23	THE COURT: So the monitor that you say
24	later had static when you came in was black?

25

=YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER =

THE WITNESS:

That's correct.

```
=HERNANDEZ - VOIR DIRE - DEFENSE=
 1
                      THE COURT:
                                  It was not emitting any
 2
             light?
 3
                      THE WITNESS:
                                     That's correct, sir.
 4
                      THE COURT: The exhibit is admitted.
 5
                      MR. KEITH: I don't see the relevance.
 6
                      THE COURT: I think the evidence is
 7
                     Objection is overruled. Number seven is
 8
            received.
 9
                      (People's Exhibit 7 was received in
10
        evidence.)
11
                      THE COURT: Continue.
12
        CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION BY
13
       MR. BERLAND:
14
                 There came a point you turned on the monitor
            0.
15
        and saw static?
16
            Α.
                 Yes.
17
                 Had the cameras been ripped down by members of
18
       your field team?
19
            Α.
                 Yes.
20
                 Based on your training and experience and
21
       common knowledge, when the camera is ripped down, will
22
       you be able to see anything?
23
                      MR. KEITH: Objection.
24
                      THE COURT: Sustained.
25
                      MR. KEITH:
                                  Thank you.
                 =YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER =
```

=HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE:

THE COURT: Don't thank me. I am just doing my job.

Q. Were both the cameras when People's Exhibit 7 taken down or ripped off the wall at the time you switched on the monitor and saw static?

MR. KEITH: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled. If he knows the sequence.

A. It was ripped down before I turned on the monitor.

THE COURT: Is this number eight?

MR. BERLAND: It is Your Honor.

Q. What is this?

- A. This is all -- the Philly box or one of the Philly boxes, pink boxes, cigar boxes recovered from the end table which is by the window in the fourth floor room apartment which had contained a scale and some plastic Baggie packaging, as well as plastics that were removed from the garbage inside the apartment, including the wrappers, the kilo wrappers that I spoke about earlier.
 - Q. The kilo wrappers are within that exhibit?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did you recover any playing cards within the fourth floor apartment?

	HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE
	TERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE -
1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Q. And was anything of significance to you on
3	those playing cards?
4	A. Yes. They also contained signs of white
5	powder on them, residue from cocaine on them.
6	Q. How many of those playing cards did you
7	voucher?
8	A. I think there's one in here. There were
9	multiple cards in the garbage and they were left in the
10	garbage.
11	Q. I don't think I ever asked you; what does it
12	mean to voucher property?
13	A. To take it into police custody, to account for
14	it and to place it into safekeeping in case it needs to
15	be reviewed, utilized or observed in the future.
16	Q. The items in People's Exhibit 8, you recovered
17	those items?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. Are they in the same condition or
20	substantially the same condition as they were on
21	November 1, 2007?
22	A. Yes, sir.
23	MR. BERLAND: I ask that this be offered

MR. BERLAND: I ask that this be offered into evidence as People's Exhibit 8.

THE COURT: Mr. Keith.

24

25

	HERNANDEZ -VOIR DIRE - DEFENSE -
1	MR. KEITH: I need to ask a couple of
2	questions, Your Honor.
3	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY
4	MR. KEITH:
5	Q. With regard to the playing cards, do you
6	recall where they were located?
7	A. Yes, sir. One was by the end table with the
8	glass counter.
9	Q. On it or in it?
10	A. Actually, excuse me, one in the Philly box
11	that was in the safe and the majority of them were in
12	the garbage bag.
13	Q. How many playing cards were there?
14	A. There were several.
15	Q. Several?
16	A. Yes.
17	THE COURT: Ten? Fifteen? Twenty?
18	THE WITNESS: I would say between five
19	and ten, something around there Your Honor.
20	Q. One was inside the Philly box and several were
21	in the garbage?
22	A. Yes, sir.
23	MR. KEITH: No objection.
24	THE COURT: All right, 8 is received.
25	(People's Exhibit 9 was received in

327 =HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE= evidence.) THE COURT: What else? CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BERLAND: 0. Within Exhibit 8, there's a Philly box in there? Yes, sir. Α. Ο. Before lunch or earlier this afternoon, you were discussing the Philly box you observed in the second floor apartment. Do you recall that? Α. Yes, sir. I will withdraw that and back up a moment. Ο. Was there any residue or what you believe to be a white powder substance within the Philly box in People's Exhibit 8? Yes, sir. Α. The Philly box from the second floor, did you observe residue or white powdery substance in that box? I don't recall if there was. I know there was on the glass tops, but I'm not too sure -- I don't recall now whether there was in the Philly boxes

- recall now whether there was in the Philly boxes downstairs in the second floor or not.

 Q. The Philly boxes down on the second floor,
 - A. No, sir.

were they vouchered evidence?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

=HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23

24

25

- Q. Were pictures taken of those?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you know, sitting here, why those Philly boxes on the second floor were not vouchered? If you know.
- A. Because the items were recovered from them that were significant to the case, the plastic Baggies, that was it.
 - Q. And you took -- withdrawn.

Pictures were taken of everything recovered from the second floor?

- A. That is correct, sir.
- Q. That is People's Exhibit 9 for identification. Do you recognize that all that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. What is it?
- A. Also items recovered in the fourth floor apartment, specifically the Dutch Master box which contained bags of cocaine recovered in the white safe. The Philly blunt pink box that was also recovered with money and sealed ready bags of cocaine. The sandwich bags recovered on the mantel in the -- next to the TV unit and boxes of plastic bags that were also recovered in the closet, as well as multiple Apple and clear plastic bags, clear Ziploc bags recovered from the

window and the closet and in the end table. Also, I'm sorry, the gift bag that had the three plastic bags containing chunks of cocaine recovered in the gray safe is also in here.

Q. You testified to a lot of plastic bags contained within the exhibit. Can you break down, if

- you can, where in the apartment everything was?

 A. Some of the Ziploc Baggies were in the Philly box on top of the end table by the window at the end of the room. Some of them were in the end table by the glass countertop. Some of them were in -- a good portion of them were in the closet by the safes and on
- Q. Did you personally recover the items contained within that exhibit?

little shelves that were in the closet.

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Are the items within Exhibit 9 the same condition or substantially the same condition as when you recovered them on November 1st of last year?
 - A. Yes, sir.

MR. BERLAND: I ask that these be received into evidence as People's Exhibit 9.

THE COURT: What are the smaller cardboard boxes that don't appear to have labels on them?

=HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE=

1 THE WITNESS: They also contain bags in 2 them of different colors to be sealed. 3 MR. KEITH: That was stuff from out of 4 the closet? 5 THE WITNESS: These boxes, yes, came out 6 of the closet. 7 THE COURT: Were they purchasable? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, in grocery stores 9 where you buy newspapers and DVDs and those types 10 of items, stationery-type stores that you see with 11 magazines. A lot of them will sell this and 12 digital scales and other packaging materials 13 needed to package cocaine. 14 THE COURT: Putting aside packaging. The 15 boxes you were just referring to, they're 16 purchasable, but not labeled? The white Baggies 17 in the envelope are labeled? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 19 THE COURT: One is advertising itself and 20 the other isn't? 21 THE WITNESS: That is correct, sir. 22 MR. KEITH: I have no objection. 23 THE COURT: Nine is received. 24 (People's Exhibit 9 was received in 25

evidence.)

=HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE:

THE COURT: What's next?

- Q. You've been handed People's Exhibit 10 for identification. What is that?
- A. Yes, sir. This is all the cocaine that was recovered from the safes, from both safes in the fourth floor apartment of 451 Lenox Avenue on November 1, 2007.
- Q. Can you explain how it was broken down? I see there is a lot of cocaine in that bag?
- A. Yes. I took the items and broke them down, too, depending on where they were, which safe, and how they were packaged. I wanted to keep them basically together when they were vouchered. So, they were broken down to I think about possibly eight items, with each item in it; one plastic bag containing ten bags, one plastic bag containing 20 bags of cocaine and so on and so forth.
- Q. The larger bag within People's Exhibit 10, how was that packaged?
 - A. In clear plastic bags, sandwich-type.
 - Q. Heat sealed, tied, loose?
 - A. They were loose.
- Q. Based on your training and experience as a narcotics officer, are they in pure form, raw or have they been cut?

HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE =

- A. They're basically in the way that they're received before the cocaine is repackaged for sale or into smaller packaging.
- Q. Putting side the three large bags. The other cocaine, was that recovered in the white safe?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. How was that packaged?
- A. Various methods. In small Ziplocs, small heated bags and also in clear plastic bags.
- Q. More similar to the cocaine found in People's Exhibit 3?
 - A. That is correct, sir.
 - Q. Which was recovered on the second floor?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, the table that you testified to earlier, that was in the fourth floor apartment, is that the type of table that could be used to process or cut cocaine, such as the large cocaine in People's Exhibit 10?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Now, was there -- withdrawn.

Did you notice white powdery substance that you believe to be residue scattered or anywhere within the fourth floor apartment?

A. Yes, sir.

=HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24

25

- Q. Where?
- A. On the tabletop, on the glass tabletop and on several other items recovered, such as the Philly box, such as the scale and the packaging and the garbage bag with all the plastics?
- Q. When you entered into the fourth floor apartment, based on your years of narcotics work and search warrant executions, what did you believe was taking place in that apartment?

MR. KEITH: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained. The jury will figure it out one way or the other.

Q. Based on your training and experience, was the fourth floor room consistent with a --

MR. KEITH: Objection to leading.

THE COURT: I think you should focus on the individual items and that's pretty much where I will tell to you leave it. You can go over the individual items quickly.

- Q. You recovered the cocaine from within the safes?
 - A. Yes sir.
- Q. Is the cocaine in the same condition or substantially the same condition as it was in on November 1, 2007?

334 =HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE= 1 Α. Yes, sir. 2 MR. BERLAND: I ask that that be moved 3 into evidence as People's Exhibit 10. 4 MR. KEITH: Can I just see it again? 5 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 6 (HANDING.) 7 MR. KEITH: No objection. 8 THE COURT: All right. Ten is received. 9 (People's Exhibit 10 was received in 10 evidence.) 11 I will move back very quickly to People's Exhibit 5. There was a question I forgot to ask you. 12 13 THE COURT: These are the things 14 identified as the heat sealers? 15 MR. BERLAND: Correct. 16 THE COURT: Go ahead. 17 Did you recover the heat sealers in the Q. 18 apartment? 19 Α. Yes, sir. 20 And are these heat sealers in the same 21 condition or substantially the same condition today as 22 they were back on November 1, 2007? 23 Α. Yes, sir.

YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER =

offered into evidence now.

MR. BERLAND: Now, I ask that these be

24

--HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE=

which give an additional basis for five to be accepted. Anything you want to say, Mr. Keith? The only thing I suspended introduction of until we heard from Detective Romero. That's why I said there are two additional questions and answers which might serve as a second basis or alternate basis for receiving these. Then I said is there anything you wish to say.

MR. KEITH: I still object to that.

THE COURT: Now received over objection,

Exhibit 5.

2.2

(People's Exhibit 5 was received in evidence.)

MR. BERLAND: The photographs are People's Exhibits 11 through 19, which I will show to the detective in a moment. I believe that will be nine.

THE COURT: These are the pictorially challenged Polaroids?

MR. BERLAND: Pretty much sums it up.

- Q. What are you looking at?
- A. The second floor apartment.
- Q. Who took the pictures?
- A. A member of the team.

-HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE=

- Q. You didn't take them?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Do these pictures fairly and accurately depict everything you've testified to here today as to the location, the narcotics and paraphernalia within the apartment?
 - A. Yes, sir.

2.2

- Q. Briefly go through each picture. Should be a number on the back.
- A. Number 11 is the glass stand with the wooden box and the Philly boxes next to an empty freezer.

MR. KEITH: On the second floor?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All second floor.

A. Number 12 is just a picture from the entranceway into the apartment on the second floor.

Number 13 is the room to the left when you first enter the apartment that has a video box on it and a table.

Number 17 -- sorry, number 14 is a picture, again, of the glass stand and of the freezer and TV screen above with the green all staticie also, whited out.

Number 15 is the door to that second floor apartment that says Office of Browns on it. Number 16 is looking into the apartment to the right towards the kitchenette and the bathroom. Number 17 is actually a picture of

=HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE:

the bathroom. Number 18 is a close-up photo of the brown box with the bags of cocaine and the United States currency. Number 19 is a partial picture of the stand as well as of the kitchenette area, little alcove of the kitchen.

MR. BERLAND: I ask that this be moved into evidence as People's Exhibit 11 through 19.

MR. KEITH: No objection.

THE COURT: Pictures received.

(People's Exhibits 11 through 19 were received in evidence.)

THE COURT: All of these exhibits which actually are admitted into the evidence as opposed to something simply for identification, for example, the voucher that was labeled A, A is not in evidence, all these exhibits plus the photographs which are in evidence probably will be shown to you during the course of the trial.

Whether they are or aren't, they are available for you during your deliberations if you need them or want them. They can even stay in there during your deliberations. If you want the exhibits, any of them, all of them, just ask me and they'll be supplied. The point is if we show them to you, hand them to you, like the photographs, show you

HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE:

by holding the drug exhibits in front of you, you do not have to memorize the details of each because if you want them during the deliberations, you can ask and they'll be in the room with you.

So we have decide at the end of his examination of the witness, maybe before, maybe after cross-examination we'll show you the exhibits.

MR. BERLAND: Counsel is looking at People's Exhibits 20 through 26 for identification.

- Q. You are holding People's Exhibits 20 through 26 for identification.
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Do you recognize these?
- A. Yes, sir, I do.
- Q. What are they?
- A. They are photos that I took inside of the fourth floor apartment at 451 Lenox Avenue.
 - Q. You took the photographs on the fourth floor?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. The narcotics division couldn't do any better than a Polaroid?
 - A. This is what we use when we go out.
 - Q. Let's go through each one.

HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE:

Number 20 is the white safe which contains a Α. picture of the Philly blunt box and Dutch Master box which each contained cocaine. Picture number 21 is what I mentioned like the fireplace, like a mantel that was in the room next to the TV stand with a DVD player and a monitor, and on it the pictures of certain items. Number 22 is a picture of a tin and some plastic baggies that were by the window towards the rear of the apartment. Number 23 were the shelves inside the closet in that room showing what was on those shelves. Number 24 was the white safe again, but items that were inside the white safe inside the boxes. Number 25 is the gray safe showing the gift bag with the items that were inside the gift bag. Number 26 was a closer view of the three clear plastic bags inside the gift bag. Number 27 was the glass top table with a picture of the heat sealer and end table right next to it in that room.

- Q. Now, do these pictures fairly and accurately depict the way all of the items located in the fourth floor appeared on November 1, 2007?
 - A. Of these items, yes, sir.
 - Q. And you took these pictures?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BERLAND: I ask the photographs be EYVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER

340 HERNANDEZ - VOIR DIRE - DEFENSE: 1 moved into evidence as People's Exhibits 20 2 through 26. 3 MR. KEITH: I have some questions. 4 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY 5 MR. KEITH: 6 Would you please take a look at People's Q. 7 Exhibit 22 for identification. 8 Α. Yes, sir. 9 0. What do you believe is depicted in that 10 picture? 11 Α. A tin, like a box with plastic Baggies inside 12 of it. 13 With regard to the fourth floor apartment, Q. 14 where do you believe those items are? 15 By the -- by a window. 16 0. Detective, didn't you testify earlier that 17 there was, I believe you said there was some garbage 18 bags or something over the windows? 19 No, garbage bags like this that you were going 20 to throw away were by the entrance to the apartment. 21

- Q. Did you say garbage bags were by the entrance to the apartment? The garbage bags were in the closet?
- No, the garbage bags were by the entrance inside the apartment.

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Was something covering the =YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER =

=HERNANDEZ - VOIR DIRE - DEFENSE= 1 window? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 3 THE COURT: What? 4 THE WITNESS: A shade. 5 Q. In the pictures were Venetian blinds? 6 Α. Yes, sir. 7 Were there Venetian blinds in the fourth floor Q. 8 apartment? 9 Α. Yes, sir. 10 Q. That's your recollection? 11 Yes, sir. Underneath the Venetian blinds was Α. 12 a black garbage bag. 13 THE COURT: Does that mean underneath as 14 in below or underneath as in behind the blinds 15 closer to the glass? 16 THE WITNESS: Close to the glass. 17 THE COURT: Blinds, bag and pane of 18 glass? 19 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 20 THE COURT: There was a garbage bag 21 against the window? 22 THE WITNESS: Yes. 23 THE COURT: And at least one other garbage bag someplace in the apartment. You are 24 25 testifying that it was by the entrance to that =YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER =

```
=HERNANDEZ - VOIR DIRE - DEFENSE=
 1
            apartment?
 2
                     THE WITNESS: Garbage bags yes, sir, by
 3
            the entrance.
 4
                     THE COURT: Were they attached to
 5
            something or on the floor?
 6
                     THE WITNESS: The garbage bag were full
 7
            of garbage on the floor.
 8
                     THE COURT: Go ahead.
 9
                     MR. KEITH: May I have the series of
10
            photographs marked --
11
                     THE COURT: This is on his introduction,
12
            supposedly, 22 through 27.
13
                     MR. KEITH: Yes, Your Honor.
                                                    I want to
14
            see if he looks at these photographs whether or
15
            not they refresh his recollection.
16
                     THE COURT: I sense this will move it
17
            along. May not fit precisely within the evidence.
18
            Show them and ask the questions.
19
                     MR. BERLAND: I may need to voir dire on
20
            those. I perceive some issues.
21
                     THE COURT: Let's wait until he offers
22
            them.
23
                     MR. KEITH: May they be marked
24
            Defendant's B and C for identification.
25
                     May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
                 =YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER =
```

THE COURT: Sure.

- Q. With regard to the item labeled B, taking a look at that item, what do you see?
 - A. That's the second floor.

THE COURT: Sustained. Ask him to familiarize himself then a question. Since it's not in evidence, I will not have him describe it just yet, if at all. This is somehow helping if I'm going to admit 20 through 27. He looked at it and has a mental picture of the picture.

Q. With regard to the item labeled B for identification, looking at that item, do those photographs fairly reflect the physical layout of the second floor apartment at 451 Lenox Avenue?

MR. BERLAND: On what date?

THE COURT: We're not doing the second floor because he's trying to do the fourth floor.

MR. KEITH: I believe he misidentified the other photograph. I think if he looks at the photograph, he can see how.

THE COURT: Walk over.

MR. BERLAND: May I, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Come on up. I heard, "I took the pictures." 20 through 27 are admitted.

(People's Exhibits 20 through 27 were

--HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE=

received in evidence.)

MR. KEITH: I was talking about 22.

THE COURT: What's next?

CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION BY

MR. BERLAND:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. Detective Hernandez, based on your training and experience in warrant executions, can you please explain to the jury the relationship between a room that is being used to sell narcotics and a room being used to package narcotics?

MR. KEITH: Objection.

A. Yes.

THE COURT: You can ask him generally whether every function related to the sale must happen in one place, but you can't relate it to this event. You have to leave it up to the jury to make whatever ultimate decision you will ask him to make. Go ahead.

Q. Generally speaking, is it common for drugs to be processed in and packaged in the same location they're sold from?

MR. KEITH: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

A. Generally speaking, no, it would be in separate locations.

-HERNANDEZ - DIRECT - PEOPLE=

1	
Τ	

- 2
- 2
- 3
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 1,
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- Q. Why is that?
- A. You don't want the bulk of your merchandise that has a high value to be in the same place that you have clientele coming into and alerting them to the fact that the product is there, which then makes you victim to robberies and anyone coming in there trying to remove the property and the drugs from you without paying.
- Q. I think you testified a while back that some money was recovered on the defendant, Edward Green; is that right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. You said 330-something dollars?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Were any tools recovered on the defendant?
 - A. No, sir, not that I recall.
- Q. Was any identification recovered on the defendant, if you recall?
- A. I'm not sure if there was or not. I don't recall any specified indication, but I don't know. I can't tell you whether he did or not.
- Q. Was there anything recovered on the defendant indicating his employment, if you recall?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Had you ever met Steven Brown prior to

```
HERNANDEZ -CROSS - DEFENSE =
 1
        November 1, 2007?
 2
                 No, sir.
            Α.
 3
            Q.
                 Had you ever met the defendant before
 4
        November 1, 2007?
 5
            Α.
                 No, sir.
 6
                      MR. BERLAND:
                                     I have nothing further.
 7
                      THE COURT: Mr. Keith.
 8
        CROSS-EXAMINATION BY
 9
        MR. KEITH:
10
            0.
                 Good afternoon, Detective Hernandez.
11
                 Good afternoon, sir.
            Α.
12
                 How old are you?
            Q.
13
            Α.
                 Excuse me?
14
                 How old are you?
            Q.
15
            Α.
                 I'm 42, going on 43.
16
            Q.
                 What's your educational background?
17
            Α.
                 Completed high school, went to college for a
        little while before going into the police department,
18
19
        and currently attempting to obtain my Bachelor's in
2.0
        criminal justice. Going to school right now.
21
            Q.
                 So you are in your second year of college?
22
        How many credits do you have?
23
            Α.
                 I have about 70.
24
            0.
                 Now, at the time of the execution of the
25
        search warrant, November 1, 2007, you were a member of
                 =YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER =
```

HERNANDEZ -CROSS - DEFENSE: 1 the Manhattan North Narcotics? 2 Α. That is correct. 3 At the time, how long had you been a member of Q. 4 Manhattan North Narcotics? 5 Α. Since 1993. For over 14 years. 6 Q. On direct, I believe you said you had been 7 involved in several thousand arrests; is that correct? 8 Α. That is correct. 9 Ο. Now -- and you've been the assigned officer or 10 arresting officer approximately 750 times? 11 Α. Approximately. 12 And you were not the assigned officer on this 13 case, were you? 14 Α. That is correct, sir. 15 That was Detective Romero? Q. 16 That is correct. Α. 17 Q. Now, with regard to your experience as a 18 witness, with regard to the thousands of cases that 19 you've been involved in, approximately how many times 20 have you testified before a Grand Jury, approximately? 21 Α. I don't know. Large amount of time, 22 significant amount of time. 23

Several hundred? 0.

24

25

Maybe about 100 or so. I can't give you a number on the Grand Jury terms.

-HERNANDEZ -CROSS - DEFENSE:

Q. Now, with regard to testifying in a pre-trial
hearings or trial hearings where you are cross
examined, how many times have you experienced that?
A. A few.
THE COURT: Under a dozen?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I would think so.
Q. In this case, you were part of the team of
officers that were assigned to execute two search
warrants at that building, 451 Lenox Avenue, on
November 1, 2007; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And the team broke up into two groups; isn't
that correct?
A. There were two separate teams that were
executing on that day.
Q. There was a group of officers that went up to
the third floor; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And a group of officers that went to the
second floor?
A. That is correct.
Q. You are part of that second group?
A. That is correct.

_YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER =

Detective Romero was the supervisor or the

24

25

Q.

leader of that group?

- A. No, supervisors, sergeants, lieutenants and captains were the leaders of the group. Detective Romero was the detective, as I say, in charge of any arrests or of the execution of the search warrants.
- Q. So, is it fair to say before you guys went to execute the search warrants that you had at that time, he had been designated to be the arresting officer? If there were arrests to be made, Detective Romero would get credit for the arrests?
- A. Not that he was assigned. It was that he was responsible -- he conducted -- he was the one who conducted the preliminary work that occurred to obtain search warrants, to execute the search warrants at the location.
- Q. Before you went in, you knew Detective Romero would be the arresting officer if there were arrests made?
- A. Yes, sir. They were his warrants. He was the arresting officer.
- Q. He would have to then necessarily do the majority of the paperwork?
- A. Um, he would be responsible for the majority of the paperwork or would be the one receiving any paperwork or any other work done by other members of the team.

1 On direct, you described yourself as the Q. 2 recorder. Explain to the jury what the recorder does. 3 A recorder basically assists the person 4 assigned, the primary investigator in whichever matter 5 that primary investigator wants. Traditionally a 6 recorder is a person who can make entries or jot down 7 notes. Basically what it comes down to in a search 8 warrant execution team in narcotics is whatever the 9 arresting officer wants that person to do for him. 10 Now, to prepare yourself for your testimony today, you reviewed the reports that were prepared by 11 12 Detective Romero? 13 Α. I reviewed the vouchers. 14 Q. You reviewed the vouchers? 15 Yes, sir. Α. 16 You didn't read over his arrest report or any 0. 17 other police reports he filled out? 18 Α. No, sir. 19 And did you read over the testimony you gave 20 before the Grand Jury? 21 Yes, sir. Α. 22 Q. Did you read over his testimony? 23 No, sir. Α. 24 You, of course, had conversations with 0.

25

ADA Berland?

	351
	HERNANDEZ -CROSS - DEFENSE
1	A. I had conversations with the assistant. I
2	wouldn't say they were numerous.
3	Q. Since November 1, 2007, approximately how many
4	search warrants have you participated in, the execution
5	of a search warrant?
6	A. I wish I could say many, but there were few
7	because I was transferred in February 2008 to the Drug
8	Enforcement Task Force.
9	Q. So approximately how many?
10	A. Maybe four, five, maybe, at that.
11	Q. Approximately how many arrests have you been
12	involved in since November 2007, approximately?

- have you been November 2007, approximately?
 - I don't know, maybe 20, 25. Α.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- With regard to the money recovered from Q. Mr. Green, do you recall that money being found in a wallet in his pocket?
- The money was found in his pants pocket, but I wasn't the individual who recovered it.
 - Are you saying you do not recall the wallet? Q.
- I don't recall the money was recovered from Α. the wallet. I'm not too sure if he had one or not.
- Q. Do you recall Mr. Green having a New York State identification card?
 - I don't recall whether he did or not. Α.
 - Q. Do you recall him having a South Carolina

352
HERNANDEZ -CROSS - DEFENSE
driver's license?
A. I wouldn't recall if he did or not.
Q. Is it fair to say that there was no
documentation indicating that Mr. Green lived at 451
Lenox Avenue?
A. I can't answer that question 'cause I did not
review any documentation that was on him.
Q. And you didn't look at any of the paperwork
prepared by Detective Romero?
A. In regards to the arrests, that was
Detective Romero's responsibility.
Q. I am saying, in your preparation, you didn't
look at any of Detective Romero's paperwork?
A. I would have looked at the on-line booking
sheets on the date of the arrest.
Q. On the on-line booking sheet, do you recall
what Mr. Green's address was?
A. I wouldn't recall. I would have to look at the
sheets.
MR. BERLAND: I'd object to this anyway,
Your Honor.
THE COURT: Object to what?
MR. BERLAND: He is about to show him the

<u>YVETTE PACHECO</u> SENIOR COURT REPORTER —

MR. KEITH: He said he looked at it.

on-line booking sheets.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

THE COURT: He really says he doesn't have one, but you go right ahead and show it to him.

MR. KEITH: Showing him Defendant's D.

THE COURT: Somebody else's on-line
booking sheet prepared regarding the arrest of
Mr. Green. Okay.

Q. After looking at that document, is your recollection refreshed with regard to Mr. Green's address?

MR. BERLAND: Without reading from it.

THE COURT: And more specifically it
would be, if anything, whether Mr. Green said it
was his address rather than some independent
documentation of the address.

THE WITNESS: I don't recall what he gave to Detective Romero.

THE COURT: Sustained. We'll have to wait for Detective Romero. What's next?

- Q. In order to get a search warrant, generally speaking, what type of information has to be given to the judge?
- A. I guess the circumstances and what we believe the probable cause is for us to believe that a crime is being committed or has been committed at a location.

3 4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

For example in this case some of the 0. information given to the judge to get the first set of warrants was that someone had gone to that location and purchased narcotics?

MR. BERLAND: Can we approach?

THE COURT: No. So far I don't see a need Somebody went to that building and bought to. drugs I don't think is a reason we have to approach. Overruled.

Generally speaking, is that the type of 0. information that was made available to a judge to sign the search warrant for the execution of the search warrants at 451 Lenox Avenue?

THE COURT: When you say "generally," that doesn't help. There is a standard.

You are absolutely not prepared nor asked to make any decision about the procedures used in filing a warrant or even executing it. The topic of generally how one gets a warrant is okay, but I don't want anybody to think the wrong thing. I will not instruct them much about warrants. can try to get more information of the nature that you are interested in, but I may pepper you with help periodically.

Go ahead.

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. With regards to the investigation at 451 Lenox Avenue, Mr. Green wasn't the subject of that investigation; is that fair to say?

THE COURT: All right, let's do it this way. There is a search warrant and sometimes there is a person described or several people described, generally you don't know somebody's name. Often times, one person's description is contained in the search warrant. Is that usually the situation?

> THE WITNESS: That is correct.

THE COURT: As best you can glean from the description, if any, contained in the search warrant and Mr. Green's description, was he the person or persons specifically described in there?

- Α. What specifically was described in the search warrant, I don't recall, but there were descriptions of individuals that were put into the search warrants.
 - Individuals with an S? Q.
 - I believe so. Α.

THE COURT: This is fine, but keep in mind that you do not have to decide anything about the execution of it and the fact that somebody is or isn't named, labeled, described in the search warrant doesn't have anything to do with regard to

=HERNANDEZ -CROSS - DEFENSE=

the fact that the police are allowed to enter into and search the place described. If during your deliberations you need me to answer some more specific question, you can ask me.

Continue, Mr. Keith.

Q. Yes or no, was Mr. Green the target of the search warrant?

MR. BERLAND: It was asked and answered.
MR. KEITH: It wasn't.

Q. If he was, he was. If he wasn't, he wasn't.

MR. BERLAND: Can you please --

THE COURT: I'm willing to say that he's not the person specifically described in the warrant, but that has limited applicability for you folks in this case. We'll move on. That's in the record now.

- Q. Now, when you testified before the Grand Jury, that was testimony that was under oath?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. You told the truth before the Grand Jury; isn't that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. When you testified before the Grand Jury, you didn't testify at all about the second floor; isn't that correct?

- A. I think that is correct.
- Q. I want to direct your attention back to that day. You were part of the team that searched the second floor or the group of officers that searched the second floor?
- A. I was part of the team that entered the second floor and did look around the second floor, yes, sir.
- Q. Before I ask more questions about that, with regard to the first team that went in, would it be fair to say that they used a battering ram to get through that door to get to the third floor; isn't that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, going back to the second floor. There came a time during the execution of the search warrant on the second floor that some keys were recovered; isn't that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you see the recovery of those keys?
- A. Yes, when Detective Romero recovered them, yes, sir.
- Q. Where did -- specifically where did Detective Romero recover those keys?
- A. If I remember correctly, they were on the waistband of Mr. Smith.

=HERNANDEZ -CROSS - DEFENSE=

Q.	Would it refresh your recollection any	if I
were to	say to you that the keys were recovered	from a
jacket,	from the pocket of a jacket?	

- A. You can say, but I remember Detective Romero having keys in his hands when he was searching Mr. Smith -- Mr. Brown, Mr. Brown, Steven Brown.
- Q. You don't recall there being a jacket on a chair?
- A. I don't recall if there was a jacket on the chair or not.
- Q. Isn't it correct that after those keys were recovered, whether from a jacket or from Mr. Brown's waistband, officers then went to check and see where those keys were to be utilized; isn't that fair to say?
- A. I remember I went upstairs with Detective
 Romero and went and checked what we were being told
 about regarding the floors, and there were several SROs
 on the third and fourth floors --
 - Q. You mean individual apartments?
- A. Yes, sir, and that we return back, and we spoke to the supervisor about it and we went upstairs because we were told that there was a loud slamming above the third floor and then we received radio communication regarding the fact that the surveillance camera and a wire leading up to the fourth floor

4

6

7

5

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

window, and with that, Detective Romero went up to the
fourth floor, I accompanied him, as well as several
supervisors and we went to a corner apartment and he
tried the key there.

- Before going up to the fourth floor, do you 0. recall officers going to each apartment on the third floor and trying the keys?
- Α. That, I don't recall. I recall there being several officers throughout the building since they heard a slamming above the third floor. When they reached the third floor door landing and we were trying to make a determination where the noise was coming from and what was the layout of those floors.
- I guess you don't recall on the third floor that some doors were knocked on?

THE COURT: It really doesn't legally matter for the jurors what they did either on the third or the fourth floor for that matter.

MR. KEITH: Well, it does with regard to his recollection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: No, it doesn't. It's not much difference than if he doesn't remember what he wore that day. You are going to focus on the apartment or any supposed connection with Mr. Green, and that's our trial.

)		1
		2
		3
		4
		5
		6
		7
		8
		9
	1	0
	1	1
	1	2
l	1	3
	1	4
	1	5
	1	6
	1	7
	1	8
	1	9
	2	0
	2	1
	2	2

24

25

- Q. Do you recall seeing any other civilians in the building other than Mr. Green and Mr. Brown?
- A. I think on the third floor there was a person who was coming out of one of the rooms but went back in and saw the police in the building.
- Q. Is it your recollection that there was no follow-up investigation by any of the officers when that happened?
- A. No, because the person came out. The person went back in, appeared to be startled, when the person saw us on the floor.
- Q. So when a person came out, he was startled and goes back into his apartment. You are saying during the execution of the warrants, the officers said okay and moved on?
- A. Because -- yes, that was before we had discovered the information about the fourth floor apartment.
- Q. Now, with regard to the fourth floor, you testified about some wires. These wires were on the outside of the building, inside of the building? Where were these wires?
- A. Wires were on the outside but had came into the window that was in that fourth floor apartment.
 - Q. Now, it's correct that 451 Lenox Avenue, the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HERNANDEZ -CROSS - DEFENSE: front of the building faces Lenox Avenue; is that correct? Α. That is correct, sir. And on the street level, there's a laundromat; Ο. is that correct? That is correct. Α. A four-story building? Q. Α. That is correct. The apartment that Mr. Green was found in, you wouldn't be able see any windows to that apartment from looking at the front of the building from Lenox Avenue; isn't that correct? Α. That is correct. 0. His apartment was in the back; is that correct? Yes, sir, his apartment was in the back. Α. So --Q. Or I should say the apartment he was in was in the back. MR. KEITH: I'd like to mark this Defendant's Exhibit I for identification. THE COURT: We'll call this a photograph. (Defendant's Exhibit I was marked for identification.) Q. I ask you to take a look at what has been

=HERNANDEZ -VOIR DIRE - PEOPLE:

1 marked I for identification. Do you recognize that? 2 Yes, sir. It appears to be 451 Lenox Avenue. Α. 3 Looking at that photograph, does it appear to Q. 4 be a fair representation of 451 Lenox Avenue as it 5 looked on November 1, 2007? 6 Α. I could say that the building itself and the 7 laundromat canopy appear to be consistent with what I 8 remember from November 1, 2007. 9 MR. KEITH: I offer that as Defendant's I 10 in evidence. 11 MR. BERLAND: Can I see it briefly, 12 please? May I voir dire? 13 THE COURT: Sure. 14 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY 15 MR. BERLAND: 16 Detective, on that picture it appears that 0. 17 there are numerous wires going into a variety of 18 different windows. Do you see that? 19 Α. Yes, sir. 20 Is that how you recall the exterior of the Q. 21 building looking on November 1, 2007? 22 I don't recall all the wires that appear to be Α. 23 hanging over the roof and coming down, but I could 24 recall the building and the canopy on the laundromat as 25 I had indicated.

- HERNANDEZ	-CROSS -	DEFENSE

1	Q. You don't know when that picture was taken, do
2	you?
3	A. No, no.
4	Q. You didn't take the pictures?
5	A. No.
6	Q. The wires weren't there that day?
7	A. Not that I recall.
8	MR. BERLAND: I object.
9	THE COURT: The picture is admissible.
10	It shows the exterior of the building, quite a
11	clear picture, shows the laundromat. It does show
12	the southwest apartment that seems to be the
13	subject apartment. It's admitted for any use the
14	jury wants to make of it.
15	(Defendant's Exhibit I was received in
16	evidence.)
17	CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION BY
18	MR. KEITH:
19	Q. Taking a look at Exhibit E, with regard to the
20	wire you saw going to the southwest apartment on the
21	outside of the building, looking at that photograph,
22	can you just show us where that wire was back on
23	November 1, 2007.
24	A. No, sir.

Α. No, sir.

25

THE COURT: I must not have been paying =YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER =

attention to my own question. I know you said the southwest apartment, the apartment which we seem to be having the trial in that picture and he said no. Is that still the situation?

THE WITNESS: That is still the situation.

THE COURT: We're asking whether a wire that may or may not have been in the window that isn't in the picture can be seen.

MR. KEITH: Your Honor, he said he saw it on the outside of the building. I'm asking if that picture could help us to see what he saw.

THE COURT: The objection to that question is sustained. What's the next question?

Q. These were previously marked as Defendant's Exhibits C and D for identification -- B and C for identification.

THE COURT: Your question is what? For the record, B and C, each are some sort of photographic print paper with four separate photographs on each.

Go ahead.

Q. Looking first at what has been marked B for identification, looking at those photographs, do they reflect the physical layout of the second floor room as

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it appeared on November 1, 2007?

- A. It's consistent with the layout.
- Q. Looking at Defendant's C for identification, does that -- do those photographs give a fair representation of the physical layout of the fourth floor apartment as it looked on November 1, 2007?
- A. At least from two of the photos I can say it looks like it. Two of them, I can't. I have no idea what this belongs to.

THE COURT: The upper left and lower right seem to be consistent with the layout of the apartment on the fourth floor. The lower left and upper right, he cannot tell where they are and what they are.

"If anything," you are saying for the benefit of the jury. You have to say it louder. If it was to help the lawyers, that tone of voice was fine.

MR. KEITH: Your Honor, I offer Defendant's Exhibits B and C into evidence.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ BERLAND: All the pictures or the one he recognizes?

MR. KEITH: Except the one picture he doesn't recognize, which is on Defendant's C in the upper right.

=HERNANDEZ -CROSS - DEFENSE=

MR. BERLAND: I believe there were two pictures the defendant did not recognize.

THE COURT: You said something about the other one. The one that you are staring at now, does that relate to the fourth floor as you recall?

THE WITNESS: I can't say hundred percent, Your Honor, because the door is not open and I cannot see inside. They look like they're all similar.

THE COURT: Those three are admitted as are four on Exhibit B. One is not.

(Defendant's Exhibit B was received in evidence.)

- I apologize for jumping around. Directing your attention back to the start of the execution of the search warrants, I believe you indicated that to the right of the laundromat there is the door to 451 Lenox Avenue?
 - To the residences, yes, sir. Α.
- And that door, I believe you indicated is a Q. door that's locked and has a buzzer system, people usually buzzed in?
 - Α. That is correct.
 - With regard to the execution of the warrants, 0. =YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER =

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HERNANDEZ -CROSS - DEFENSE:

do you know how the officers got into the building that day?

- A. Yes, sir. A walk-on was sent to the building to stand in the doorway milling around and to attempt to gain the door open either by hitting the buzzer or waiting for somebody to come out.
 - Q. When you say a walk on to?
 - A. Another detective.
- Q. Dressed in plainclothes, milled around the door and when someone came out, he was able to gain access to the building?
 - A. That is correct, sir.
- Q. Detective Hernandez, in the second floor apartment, I believe you indicated that you saw some empty bags -- empty Ziploc bags that had the Red Apple stamp on them; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And it's correct that you saw the Red Apple stamp in other cases involving other search warrants at other locations?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Now, with regard to cocaine, based on your training and experience, would you agree with me that the cocaine that's sold on the street, that it's rare that cocaine is pure, hundred percent cocaine; is that

=HERNANDEZ -CROSS - DEFENSE =

correct?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Based on your training and experience, the street level cocaine, the stuff sold on the street, what percentage is cocaine and what percentage is something else?
- A. Depends on the seller. Depends on how the seller cuts it. It could be anywhere from 30 to 40 to 50 to 60, in that general range, 70. Somewhere between 30 and 70, usually. Depending on the location and what the clientele from that particular area or that particular seller expects.
- Q. Cocaine is cut with various substances. Are you familiar with a substance called mannitol?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. What is mannitol?
- A. It's basically look like a bar of soap, but just like other cutting agents. It's a crystalline substance that's mixed up with cocaine basically for that same purpose, like any other cutting agent to lower the purity, to increase the amount to bind the cocaine.
- Q. Other agent used to mix cocaine for street sales is lactose; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct, sir.

- Q. Both mannitol and lactose and the other substances used to mix cocaine, they look a lot like cocaine, would that be fair to say?
- A. Some of them do. By the consistency and by the smell and by the odor, you can put out by them, you can tell the difference, but they basically use a product that's basically the same type of coloring or try close to consistency, so that it makes up well and doesn't make the drug look bad.
- Q. So, in terms of being precise and accurate, when you testified that you see cocaine residue, in fact, you're testifying that you see a white powdery substance at different locations, isn't that fair to say?
- A. A white powdery substance that was consistent with cocaine, yes, sir.
- Q. And it's also consistent with mannitol or lactose or any other substances that you testified to?
 - A. It can be.
- Q. I mean, you, yourself, didn't test any of the stuff?
- A. No, sir, but I also didn't find any quantities of those in those apartments, either.

THE COURT: Who wants to be the designated elbower? Ah, okay, there you go.

You're going to ask for a bathroom break. Not a surprise. Do we have to stop right now, unless profound embarrassment will occur? How about five minutes and we'll be finished for the day.

- Q. I want to direct your attention now to the fourth floor?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. It's true that when you guys entered into the apartment, you saw some things that led you to believe -- withdrawn.

I believe you testified that the closet door was slightly open; is that correct?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. It was closed and you guys went in and opened it up; is that correct?
- A. It wasn't locked, but it was slightly open, but we had to pull it open. Detective Romero had to pull it open to get it completely open.
- Q. This, of course, was done before you guys secured the search warrant?
 - A. After, yes, sir.
- Q. And when you found the safes, you were particularly curious to see what was in safes and you got your sledgehammers out; isn't that fair to say?
 - A. Not at that time.

HERNANDEZ -CROSS - DEFENSE:

1 THE COURT: That was a two-part question. 2 I wouldn't be surprised they were particularly 3 curious immediately, but the question then shifts 4 potentially to different events. It's difficult 5 to combine two things in the same sentence and 6 expect one answer. If you get my drift. 7 Ο. Detective Hernandez, I want you to think back to what you recall, what your observations were back on 8 9

- November 1, 2007.
 - Α. Yes, sir.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Now, your recollection is very clear that it was Detective Romero that used the key to get into the fourth floor apartment?
 - Α. I'm pretty sure it was, sir.
 - Pretty sure. That's more than 50 percent? Q.
 - Α. Yes.
 - Q. More than 75 percent?
 - Yes, I think so, sir. Α.
- So you're pretty sure that it was Detective Q. Romero that put the key into the lock, turned the lock and then pulled the key back out, is that what happened, initially?
 - Yes. Α.
- I believe you testified that your recollection is that instead of going right in, that you guys went

-HERNANDEZ -CROSS - DEFENSE:

·	
1	and g
2	Ž
3	Ó
4	Ī
5	alrea
6	
7	
8	(
9	to ex
10	that
11	Ž
12	Ç
13	Detec
14	2
15	Ç
16	2
17	(
18	with
19	that
20	7
21	all r
22	nusha

23

24

25

and got a police shield?

- A. Yes, he did.
- Q. What else did you get?
- A. A flashlight, or he may have had it on him already.

THE COURT: Finish up the topic.

Go ahead.

- Q. The plastic shield was brought with a group to execute the search warrant in the first place; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. So he gets the plastic shield. Is it Detective Romero using the shield or someone else?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - O. It's Detective Romero?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And he uses the key to open the door again and with the shield in front of him, he goes back in; is that correct?
- A. Unlocks it, pulls the key out, and when we're all ready and the supervisors tell him to go ahead, he pushes the door open.
- Q. He pushed the door open, the room is, as you said, very dark, is that your testimony?
 - A. That is correct.

-HERNANDEZ -CROSS - DEFENSE:

- 1 Q. But he has a flashlight in his hand, so he's 2 shining the flashlight around, he sees Mr. Green? 3 Α. That is correct. 4 Q. Mr. Green is sitting on the couch? 5 Α. That's correct. 6 Q. Of course he's grabbed right way and secured? 7 Α. Yes, after he was requested a couple of times 8 to get down. 9 So he was told to get down on the floor. Не 10 did that? 11 Α. No, he remained sitting in the chair, on the 12 couch. 13 He didn't say a word. So the officers yelled Ο. 14 at him, get down, get down, he sat there for a moment 15 and then got down on the floor? 16 Α. No, sir, he just stayed there. 17 0. He was put on the floor? 18 Α. No, he stayed there and he wouldn't get up 19 from the couch, so, myself and Detective Romero while 20 the other members of the team are also coming in, grab 21 Green and stood him up and secured him. 22 0. Handcuffed him? 23 Α. That is correct.
 - Q. Behind his back?

25

- Q. Bellind his back:
- A. That's correct.

-HERNANDEZ -CROSS - DEFENSE =

1 Q. Put him down on the floor?

- A. I don't think we put him down on the floor. I think we put handcuffs on him.
 - Q. Okay, I can't --

MR. BERLAND: Objection. He said he doesn't think so.

MR. KEITH: I mumbled.

THE COURT: None of this matters.

- Q. Detective Hernandez, is it correct that after Mr. Green is handcuffed, he's taken out of the apartment; is that correct?
 - A. Yeah, shortly thereafter.
- Q. Now, in the apartment, is it your recollection that there was a light switch that was turned or was it a pull string? How was the room illuminated?
- A. I don't remember, but I do remember we had light turned on in the apartment, whether a switch or pull switch, the lights went on.
- Q. On direct you said it was a switch, but you are not sure?
- A. Could have been a pull switch or a regular light switch on the wall.
- Q. Do you recall that a bulb was simply inserted and turned?
 - A. I don't know if a bulb was simply inserted and

 YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER

HERNANDEZ -CROSS - DEFENSE: 1 turned. I think the lights were there already. We just 2 turned them on. 3 Q. You just turned the bulb; isn't that correct? 4 I don't know about turning the bulb. I think 5 the light was turned on. 6 Before going into the closet, opening the Q. 7 closet door and going in the closet, would it be fair 8 to say that you saw the table with the glass top; is 9 that correct, that was one of the things you saw? 10 It was one of the things we quickly saw. 11 You saw that table --Q. 12 Quickly saw pieces of furniture --Α. 13 14 15

THE COURT: She's really good and even if it was the beginning of the day, she couldn't take two people talking at the same time. At the end of the day, regardless of her competency, she cannot take two people at the same time.

- Q. You saw a table with some white powdery substance on it, residue?
 - Eventually, yes, sir. Α.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- And you also saw a digital scale. Where did Q. you see that scale?
- On the stand, the entertainment shelving that Α. had the TV and the monitor.
 - Do you recall what color the scale was? Q.

-HERNANDEZ -CROSS - DEFENSE =

A. Yes, black.

- Q. It was a black scale and on one of the end table I believe you indicated you saw a closed cigar box; isn't that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I believe you also indicated that you saw one heat sealer that was on the floor under the glass top table; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Can we stop at this juncture?

MR. KEITH: Yes, we can.

THE COURT: Okay. Tomorrow, I don't need you until about ten after two. Tomorrow is a day that we all have our own private memories. I know you want to leave, and I'll let you leave in a minute, but either on the way home or when you come in tomorrow, 111 Centre Street is across the way. Outside on the side of the building is a memorial with some pictures and three of the court officers who were alive and who were up here, which is a good distance from the World Financial Center, and they could have stayed here, and they didn't, and they're dead, and a whole bench of court officers went down there, because that's what they felt they should do, even though there

HERNANDEZ -CROSS - DEFENSE:

was no legal or moral obligation for them to do it. Three of them died. Go take a look, see who they were, find out a little about them. So there is a service and a recognition of that tomorrow, but that's not the reason that we are going to start at ten after two. It has to do with scheduling of this trial. It will not affect the schedule I've given you. I respect you will be put in a position to decide the case probably on Monday. Let's see what we can accomplish tomorrow afternoon and I might revise the trial schedule.

At the end of the trial, each judge gives you the following admission. Keep an open mind. Do not discuss the case with people you will spend time with. Do not read or listen to any account in media form. I don't think there's publicity regarding the case. If you think you're being exposed to something in the media or similar case, disassociate yourself from it and let me know. Don't let anybody speak with you or attempt to influence your judgment in the case. The fact that I mention it, doesn't mean somebody is going to attempt to do it in this case and it's what I'm required to mention to each juror in the case. You can do whatever you want to do tomorrow. Do not go

=HERNANDEZ -CROSS - DEFENSE= 1 to work if you will jeopardize your punctuality 2 tomorrow. You get full credit for jury service. 3 Have a nice day. 4 5 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK PART-93 6 7 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, 8 -against-9 TRIAL 10 EDWARD GREEN, 11 Defendant 12 September 11, 2008 13 B E F O R E: HONORABLE E. MCLAUGHLIN, JSC 14 15 (Appearances as previously mentioned.) 16 THE CLERK: Calling case on trial, 17 Edward Green. 18 MR. BERLAND: Your Honor, I believe that 19 during the opening, the defense opened the door 2.0 and was talking about the introduction of the 21 keys. Mr. Keith said the evidence will show that 22 this was not the defendant's home, the evidence 23 will show that this was not the defendant's 24 apartment, and that he was merely in the wrong 25 place at the wrong time.

=PROCEEDINGS =

The defense is trying to attempt to show that the defendant doesn't have any dominion and control on the apartment. This is misleading given the facts we know he had keys on him.

I want to hand up case, People V Rojas, 92 New York 32, Court of Appeals case from 2001, September 12th. This is a case where the door was open to allow a prior crime which had been previously excluded the defendant was in state custody. He attempted to assault an inmate and put into solitary confinement --

THE COURT: I remember it because I had to do what you are asking me to do.

MR. BERLAND: In that case, as you are aware, the Court allowed testimony as to the assault on the prior inmate with limited instruction. The Court allowed that attempted assault. The trial court permitted the prosecution to refute defendant as misleading contentions. I believe that was the case here.

The Court went on to say having chosen to make an opening statement the defense adopted a single theme and expressed it during the opening. In that statement, the defense strongly suggested, if not argued, that the jury should acquit the

=YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER =

PROCEEDINGS =

defendant because he had done nothing wrong.

I think it is akin to the case and the door has been opened to the point the jury has been misled and should hear evidence about the keys.

THE COURT: I will hear what Mr. Keith has to say. We do not have a printed transcript of the statement, but I got this little stuff here that I make. Pretty much that point of the opening, Mr. Keith said with reference to the police, they found keys, I believe what he said was they found keys on or near Mr. Brown and so thereby got access to the apartment.

Think the way to hear it if you are a juror, that the police got into the apartment by virtue of keys from Brown, and since Mr. Green had no keys, he must have been let in by somebody with the real control over the apartment. So it is more than just arguable that the jury should understand about the keys, but go ahead.

MR. KEITH: Your Honor, I don't think the keys should come in. I don't think the door has been opened. Even with the keys, the apartment is still not Mr. Green's apartment.

THE COURT: Let's not talk about what EYVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER

=P	R	\cap	C	F.	F.	\Box	Τ	M	GS

will the record show by the end of the case. As I understand it, he's not testifying and I understand pretty much that they do not have, I don't know, but I don't think they have access to a document that would be admissible regarding this person who I think I've heard, if this is the right case, is in Chicago who might be the real or fictional owner, lessee of the place.

I'd like you to argue what you are going to say about his being in the apartment in the absence of a document or a person saying I let him in. It's in that context clearly you are making your opening. I need to know what it is I will be dealing with at the end of the case.

MR. KEITH: Well, with regard to him being in the apartment, I wasn't going to offer any explanation for him being in the apartment. That's their burden of proof.

THE COURT: That's fine. But what you did in the opening was contrast to the police finding keys.

MR. KEITH: They found keys in the second floor.

THE COURT: I was here. I've been living with this thing for as long as you have, perhaps

=PROCEEDINGS =

even longer. I don't know that they did not mix Mr. Green up and magically deposit him in the room. The jury is going to want to know what do we do with the fact that the Green is in the apartment and the way the police got in there was by keys. No damage to the door, so obviously, Mr. Green got in there by keys also because the lock that is here is not broken.

MR. KEITH: Right.

THE COURT: By addressing an issue, not addressing, you personally, any defense lawyer or prosecutor, by raising a topic innocently, craftily, sinisterly or however, everybody's got to take the consequences, so to speak, as to what is said because we all know in the criminal case, since the prosecution can't never appeal, they don't get a do-over; defense does get a do-over.

MR. KEITH: Your Honor, when the keys were suppressed in this case --

THE COURT: Of course, it was --

MR. KEITH: Hear me out.

THE COURT: I will let you apologize. For the benefit of the defendant sitting here and

family, who doesn't know what's going on, but since you are arguing with me, you must be right

PROCEEDINGS =

and I'm out of my mind. Virtually all opening the door cases sanctioned by Court of Appeals allows suppressed evidence back in the case because of what happened regarding references to it or mis-impressions created about. So go ahead.

MR. KEITH: Your Honor, as I argued at the end of the People's opening, without the keys, the Court of Appeals says that in this instance, they can never prove dominion and control. But be that as it may, and there is a Court of Appeals case right on point and at least a dozen cases that follow it, but be that as it may, in my opening, I said what is left in this case is a man in a room. It's not his home.

I did not suggest or open the door with regard to any keys. I totally disagree with the prosecutor's argument. The keys were suppressed. The facts are that the officers got into that apartment with keys they got from somewhere else, I don't believe that opens the door. That's what's left.

Without those keys, it's impossible for the People to prove dominion and control under the facts of this case. That's what the Court of Appeals says.

=PROCEEDINGS =

THE COURT: You keep saying because they have a problem they should never be allowed to bring the stuff back in. In two sentences, why do you think you are allowed to bring keys in?

MR. BERLAND: There is a gaping hole left for the members of the jury. The police got in through Mr. Brown's key. How did the defendant get in the apartment? That's one. Even more than that because Counsel said this isn't his home, his apartment, merely in the wrong place at the wrong time, with the two issues coupled together, it's completely misleading.

MR. KEITH: Without the keys that have been suppressed, what's left?

THE COURT: This is what we'll do. We'll keep going with the testimony. We'll sum up tomorrow, probably, and tonight you folks will read many of the "Opening the Door" cases.

I have made up my mind, but you will see that all of them deal with the line that the defense is allowed, perfectly justifiably, to go up to and maybe even lean over, and I'm not suggesting that I decided that you did it, but all of the cases, it's in the face of the suppressed evidence, a purposeful misleading of the jury

HERNANDEZ - CONTINUED CROSS - DEFENSE: about whether that evidence or circumstances ever 1 2 existed. 3 Bring in the jury. Bring back the 4 witness. 5 COURT OFFICER: Jury entering. Witness 6 entering. 7 THE COURT: You are still under oath. 8 Have a seat. I had to try to decide something. Ι 9 apologize for keeping you. 10 More keys questions, Mr. Keith? 11 MR. KEITH: Yes, Your Honor. 12 CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION BY 13 MR. KEITH: 14 Good afternoon, Detective. Ο. 15 Α. Good afternoon, sir. 16 When we stopped yesterday, Detective Q. 17 Hernandez, I was asking you about the observations you 18 made when you entered the fourth floor apartment, and I believe you agreed with me that you saw a table with a 19 20 glass top that had a white powdery substance on it, you 21 described it as cocaine residue? 22 That's correct. Α.

23

24

25

0. I believe you also indicated that you saw a digital scale that also had residue on it; is that correct?

-HERNANDEZ - CONTINUED CROSS - DEFENSE:

1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. You also indicated there was a heat sealer on the floor underneath that table with the glass top; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. With regard to other items that you saw that were in plain view, what else is there?
- A. The boxes of Baggies that were on the mantel and near the window, a tin that had plastic Baggies in it, also apple bags in it.
 - Q. I'm sorry a tin that had --
- A. Empty plastic Baggies, apple bags in them also.
- Q. The Baggies you found on the counter like, those were sandwich bags?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Taking a look at People's Exhibit 21 in evidence -- before I ask you a question about that, I am sorry, the Polaroid photographs, those pictures were taken by you?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. When were they taken?
 - A. At the apartment on that night.
- Q. That night after you guys entered with the shield and subdued and handcuffed Mr. Green, you

-HERNANDEZ - CONTINUED CROSS - DEFENSE =

brought him out of the apartment; is that correct?

- A. Shortly thereafter, yes.
- Q. If I understood you correctly, there came a point in time where -- withdrawn.

While in the apartment, would it be fair to say that when you saw the door to the closet, you opened that door?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. When you saw there were certain items in there, you saw garbage bags in there?
- A. No, the garbage bags were on the floor by the door.
 - Q. By the door to the apartment?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. So right by the closet door. The closet door is right to the left when you enter?

THE COURT: Please don't say "right to the left."

Q. Immediately to the left.

THE COURT: "Right to the left" confuses a whole lot of people, but especially me.

Q. When you entered the apartment, to the immediate left was the door to the closet; is that correct?

YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER

A. Yes, sir.

388 =HERNANDEZ - CONTINUED CROSS - DEFENSE = 1 Where were the garbage bags? Q. 2 Α. Closer to where the couch was, to the entrance 3 of the apartment. 4 0. Was it Detective Romero with the shield? 5 Α. Yes. 6 When he enters with a shield, basically in Q. 7 front of him are the garbage bags? 8 Α. To the side, by the sofa, by where the sofa is 9 placed. 10 0. To Detective Romero's right? 11 Right. Α. 12 0. The sofa is to the right? 13 That's correct. Α. 14 Basically right there the garbage bag was Q. 15 after you entered the apartment? 16 Yeah, right near the doorway. Α. 17 The lights are turned on, Mr. Green is taken Q. 18 out of the apartment, you look through the closet; is 19 that fair to say? 20 Α. I had already looked with Detective Romero in 21 the closet to make sure that there was no one in the 22 closet when we first entered the apartment.

Q. When you look into the closet, you see the two safes and what else do you see?

A. I see that no one is in there.

23

24

25

Α.

	389
_	HERNANDEZ - CONTINUED CROSS - DEFENSE -
	Q. What do you see in the closet? I know what I
	don't see. What do you see?
	A. I looked to the right, I see an empty area. I
	look up and around, I see a little shelving with a box
	and to the left is the safes.
	Q. What did you do next?
	A. What I did next is at that point, the
	apartment was secure.
	Q. What do you mean by "the apartment was
	secure"?
	A. There was no one else in the apartment, so
	we basically, we say that the apartment is secure.
	Q. So what do you do?
	A. I stand there and I wait to assist
	Detective Romero.
	Q. It's at that point a decision is made that
	maybe you need a search warrant to start looking around
	in the apartment; is that basically what happens?
	A. Shortly thereafter.
	Q. What do you mean by "shortly thereafter"?
	A. When Detective Romero approaches Mr. Green and
	starts processing Mr. Green.
	Q. What do you mean by "processing Mr. Green"?

=YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER =

he's taken out of the apartment.

Obtaining pedigree information from him before

=HERNANDEZ -	CONTINUED	CROSS -	DEFENSE
--------------	-----------	---------	---------

1 How does he go about obtaining pedigree Q. 2 information? 3 Α. Asking him his information. 4 0. Did you hear that conversation? 5 I knew he was asking him for pedigree 6 information, but I didn't pay too much attention to it 7 because the supervisor was there and I was busy talking 8 to the supervisor while keeping an eye on 9 Detective Romero. 10 Did you see when Mr. Green's wallet was taken 0. 11 from him? 12 Α. No, sir. 13 What, if anything, do you recall hearing about Q. 14 the pedigree information? 15 I think I remember him asking his name, and, Α. 16 at that point, I was engaged in a conversation with the 17 supervisor. 18 MR. KEITH: May we approach? 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 21 22

2.3

24

25

(Whereupon, a sidebar conference was held on the record out of the hearing of the jury.) MR. KEITH: Can I ask him if they asked Mr. Green if they lived there? Can I ask him if it was determined where Mr. Green lived? THE COURT: What do you want to say? =YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER =

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

=HERNANDEZ - CONTINUED CROSS - DEFENSE = MR. BERLAND: If he asks him that, can I follow up to say do you know if he worked there? We know he said he worked in the building. MR. KEITH: I don't know if he said it to him at that time. MR. BERLAND: I know he said it to Detective Romero. MR. KEITH: That's the first time I'm hearing that. MR. BERLAND: He wants to ask the question. MR. KEITH: We had statement notice. There was a big issue about Huntley. That was the first time that came up. That would have come up with regard to the Huntley issue. THE COURT: I don't know if it would have. MR. KEITH: It would have. THE COURT: Both sides could have decided pedigree never is the subject of Miranda, Huntley or inquiry and just pedigree may well have gone beyond anybody's thought process. What would be the relevance of what he said, if it's not for the

YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER =

MR. KEITH: Not living there?

truth of the matter?

2.0

HERNANDEZ - CONTINUED CROSS - DEFENSE:

THE COURT: Clearly it sounds to me like the only reason to bring this is in is if his statement is truthful. If that's the case, it's excluded because it's hearsay and the only way it can get in is if he testifies.

MR. KEITH: The truth of the matter, in his wallet there was his New York State ID with his Bronx address. That was taken from Mr. Green and ultimately returned to his family. That's where his money was.

THE COURT: But that basically begs the question of whether that's actually where he lived. If he wants to get on the stand and say I lived in the Bronx, he can do that, but then he's subjected to how did you get here.

The answer to your question is you cannot ask the witness what your client said or didn't say about where he lived because in this instance, it seems to me the only point in eliciting that if the answer was true, and so much centers about this place and his being there, that he should be testifying regarding it and subject to cross-examination.

MR. KEITH: That shifts the burden.

THE COURT: Then make the seventh issue

HERNANDEZ - CONTINUED CROSS - DEFENSE

on appeal. That's the present ruling.

MR. KEITH: So I can't ask him what the --

THE COURT: You have a whole bunch of family people who if you want to put one of them on to say I retrieved from the police department this wallet which was this identification and limit it to that, I suppose you can do that, but you cannot do it through what Mr. Green after he is arrested, pardon me, for the third or fourth time, second or fourth time for drugs in New York State, what he says usually —

MR. KEITH: I don't think Mr. Green said anything. I think they got information off the ID He's 58 years old. He's been around the block. He's not going to say anything.

THE COURT: If you cannot get it through one of the officers, it is the first time in the history that something you are certain about what happens doesn't get into the record because you can't get somebody to admit it. What am I supposed to do about it? I've been in this situation and you've been in that situation.

MR. KEITH: The bottom line is I can't ask him whether or not in this conversation he

HERNANDEZ - CONTINUED CROSS - DEFENSE = 1 overhears about pedigree, whether it was 2 determined where Mr. Green lives. 3 THE COURT: Yes, because it wasn't 4 determined where he lives. We're -- the answer is 5 you can't ask it. 6 (Whereupon, the sidebar conference 7 concluded and the proceedings continued in open court 8 as follows:) 9 THE COURT: Go ahead. 10 So Detective Hernandez, after dealing with 11 Mr. Green, then Detective Romero leaves to go and get a 12 warrant. Is that what happens next? 13 Α. Sometime after that, yes. 14 How long after that? 0. 15 A little while after. Α. 16 What's a little while? 0. 17 I don't know. Within the next few minutes. Α. 18 After making some calls and speaking to the 19 supervisors. 20 Did he call from his cell phone? Q. 21 Α. I think so. 22 0. What are you doing at that time? 2.3 Standing there. Α. 24 Q. You are in the apartment looking around? 25 Α. Standing in the apartment.

HERNANDEZ - CONTINUED CROSS - DEFENSE = 1 Q. Looking around? 2 THE COURT: Did you have your eyes 3 closed? 4 THE WITNESS: No, sir. 5 Α. Yes, I was looking around. 6 Q. With regard to the apartment, what's your 7 recollection with regard to the window? You described 8 something about garbage bags covering the window? 9 A regular black garbage bag covering the Α. 10 window. 11 Taking a look at C in evidence. Q. 12 Yes, sir. Α. 13 0. Take a look at that fourth photograph, I guess 14 that photograph. The window looked a little different 15 than that? 16 You mean on that date? Α. 17 Yes, on November 1, 2007. Do you recall the Q. 18 curtain being on the window? 19 Α. There may have been curtains. 2.0 THE COURT: He wants to know if you 21 recall it. That curtain in that picture, was that 22 curtain there the night or evening you were in the 23 apartment? 24 THE WITNESS: I can't recall that. 25 THE COURT: You don't recall?

396 HERNANDEZ - CONTINUED CROSS - DEFENSE: 1 THE WITNESS: That is correct. 2 Q. Do you recall seeing venetian blinds on the 3 window? 4 Yes, if I remember, there were blinds. Α. 5 Ο. Venetian blinds? 6 Α. Some type of blinds, some type of shade. 7 When you say "some type of shade," can you be Q. 8 a little more descriptive? 9 No, sir. Some type of shade that behind it Α. 10 was the garbage bags. 11 There was a shade and then behind the shade Q. 12 was a garbage bag? 13 Α. That is correct. 14 I want to redirect your attention again to the 15 second floor apartment. Do you recall seeing venetian 16 blinds on the front windows of that apartment? 17 I don't recall, sir. Α. 18 Q. Did you take the Polaroid pictures that were 19 taken on the second floor? 20 Α. No, sir. 21 I'd like you to take a look at People's 22 Exhibit 16 and see if it refreshes your recollection 23 with regards to venetian blinds on the windows?

A. It doesn't refresh my recollection, but in the picture here I see venetian blinds over one of the

24

25

-HERNANDEZ - CONTINUED CROSS - DEFENSE:

windows.

Q. Detective Hernandez, is it possible that in the time period from November 1st to today, that with regard to -- withdrawn.

The photographs that you took on the fourth floor, they were taken while Detective Romero was getting a search warrant, or do you recall when they were taken?

A. Sometime during the time that I was there.

THE COURT: You couldn't have taken the pictures at a time you weren't there, unless you had a fancy shmancy long-distance camera.

Do you remember, when you took the pictures, was Detective Romero on the way down to get a warrant or do you have any idea at all?

THE WITNESS: Some of the pictures -- yeah, they would have to be when he was down -- was downtown already in court.

- Q. You were taking pictures at that time for what purpose?
- A. Just so that I can recall where certain items were at.
- Q. So is it fair to say that you didn't clean anything up or move anything while you were taking pictures?

4

6

5

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 2.0

2.1

22 23

24

25

Α. No, I don't think I would have cleaned anything up.

THE COURT: Assuming that you'd taken pictures before Detective Romero left, would you have given Detective Romero a picture to have taken down to the judge? Is it more likely that you didn't take pictures when Detective Romero was still there since that didn't happen?

> THE WITNESS: That's correct, Your Honor.

- You testified that there was a glass table Ο. which appeared to have cocaine residue on it. Let me show you what's marked People's Exhibit 27. Is that picture reflective of that table?
 - Α. That is correct, sir.
- Do you recall how many other pictures you took Q. while Detective Romero was away?
- Α. The pictures that were turned over to the data that should be in that pile.
- I'm asking do you recall approximately how many pictures did you take?
 - Α. Six or seven.
- Q. Directing your attention to the closet door, your recollection is that that door was unlocked and all you had to do was pull it open?
 - Α. Yes, sir.

-HERNANDEZ - CONTINUED CROSS - DEFENSE:

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. You did not use any tools to pop the lock on the door?
 - A. Not that I can recall.
- Q. With regard to the money recovered from Mr. Green's person, do you recall what the denominations of the money were?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Do you recall it being a number of small bills?
 - A. I wouldn't know, sir.
- Q. In your preparation to testify today, did you take a look or did you review the search warrant affidavit that was prepared by Detective Romero?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. You didn't look at the facts delineated in the affidavit or affirmation to refresh your recollection with regards to the incident of that night?
- A. No, sir. There was no reason for me to do that?
- Q. Well, isn't it correct that the only notations you made with regards to your activity that night was a one-or-two-line entry in your memo book, isn't that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. So to refresh your recollection with regard to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

=HERNANDEZ - CONTINUED CROSS - DEFENSE = that night, why wouldn't you look at the search warrant affidavit which lists all the facts that were given to a judge? Because what's on the affidavit is not Α. important to what I recovered when I searched the apartment. THE COURT: It's also nothing that these folks have anything whatever to do with. I'm talking about your preparation to testify. THE COURT: He says he didn't read it. You didn't look at the affidavit to refresh Ο. your recollection? Α. No, sir. You read over your Grand Jury minutes; isn't 0. that correct? That is correct, sir. Α. You had conversations with the ADA? Q. Α. That is correct, sir. Q. Did you speak with Detective Romero? Did I speak to Detective Romero? Have I? Α. Yes, I have. 0. Of course you did, right? Yes. Α. Q. So why wouldn't you look over the affidavit?

MR. BERLAND:

Objection.

```
=HERNANDEZ - REDIRECT - PEOPLE:
 1
                     THE COURT:
                                 Sustained. We have been over
                 It's finished.
 2
            it.
 3
                     MR. KEITH: No further questions.
 4
                     THE COURT: Anything else?
 5
                     Mr. Berland, when I said it's finished, I
 6
            meant the topic of the affidavit. You understood
 7
            it that way, and his reading of that was finished.
 8
                     If you have some other questions on some
 9
            other topics, we'll see, but I didn't think that
10
            you misunderstood what I said. We're copacetic.
11
                     MR. KEITH:
                                  Yes. I have a couple of
12
            questions I have to ask.
13
                     THE COURT: We're back to exhibit?
14
                     MR. KEITH: Yes.
15
                     THE COURT: Go ahead.
16
                 Take a look at what has been marked as
            Q.
17
       Defendant's N in evidence?
18
                     MR. KEITH: For identification?
19
                     THE COURT: It's in evidence.
20
                     MR. KEITH: If it's in evidence, then I
21
            have no questions.
22
       REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY
23
       MR. BERLAND:
24
                 Was there anything this Detective Romero did
25
       on November 1, 2007, other than conduct preliminary
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

402 =HERNANDEZ - REDIRECT - PEOPLE= work that you were not present for? That is correct, sir. Α. There was nothing other than getting the Q. search warrants that you were not present for; is that right? That is correct. All of the property recovered on the fourth Q. floor was recovered by you; is that right? That is correct. Α. So would it be fair to say that the title "arresting officer," is merely a designation given to the person who obtains the warrant and does paperwork? MR. KEITH: Objection. THE COURT: That's leading. I suppose I should sustain it. What does it mean to you when someone is Q. designated arresting officer? To me, it means that he is the person who is in charge of, in regards to narcotics, in charge of the investigation and ultimately responsible for the arrest. And is that person responsible for doing Q. paperwork?

YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER =

MR. KEITH:

THE COURT:

Objection.

Overruled.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

24

25

- A. Yes.
- Q. Is it that person who would come to court and obtain a warrant?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You were asked by defense counsel on direct if Manhattan North Narcotics had information that someone was selling drugs in the second floor apartment. Do you remember being asked that yesterday?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You said that you had information that Steven Brown was selling cocaine on the second floor. Do you remember giving that answer?
- A. I remember giving an answer that I was aware of the investigation in regards to the sales occurring at the location.
- Q. Let me ask you this. Did Narcotics Borough Manhattan North have any information that drugs were being stored in a floor above the second floor?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Could you please -- withdrawn.

Is there a chain of supply in a drugs operation? Is there what it is called?

MR. KEITH: Objection. Form.

MR. BERLAND: Withdrawn.

Q. What is a chain of supply in a drug operation?

______YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER

- A. A chain of supply is basically the levels of the individuals or the way the operation is set up on where -- who is responsible for the stash or the drugs, where they come from, where they're stored, where they are packaged, where they go to be sold and the proceeds of the money taken into the operation.
- Q. You just mentioned a stash apartment. What is a stash apartment?
- A. A stash apartment is the location where the narcotics that are going to be sold at a particular location are stored so that they're available for the sellers whenever clientele comes to wherever the seller is operating from.
- Q. Now, generally speaking, does a seller typically sell from a stash apartment?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Why not?
- A. Because that would put the product at risk and also any proceeds or any money gained from the drug selling, put it at risk, as well as the individual selling.
- Q. Generally speaking, would someone buying drugs know anything about a supplier's stash apartment?
- A. It's better -- organizationalwise, it's better for the buyer not to know where the stash apartment is,

2

3 4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

stash house or the individual actually responsible for stashing or holding drug or ownership.

- You said it would be better not to know, of Q. course. Can you explain why that's the case for the jury?
- It prevents, from the owner's standpoint, the Α. people operating it or stashing the drugs, it puts the information that the buyer has at a minimum. The person only knows who they're actually dealing with, who is actually selling to them for multiple purposes. One, to give out the information to a team of robbers who can come to the location and rob the place for the drugs and money. And, the person could be a person working with the police department who can then give the information to the police which will result in search warrants.
- Can you take a look at Defendant's B and C for Ο. a moment in evidence. You see those?
 - Yes, sir. Α.
- Are those pictures of the fourth floor apartment?
 - Α. They appear to be so, yes, sir.

THE COURT: Both?

THE WITNESS: One is, Exhibit C.

Q. You stated yesterday that the picture depicted

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

=HERNANDEZ - REDIRECT - PEOPLE= the general layout of the room; is that right? Α. That is correct, sir. What about the furniture in the picture -withdrawn. Is that how the furniture in the room appeared on November 1, 2007? Some of the item, but some of the items are Α. also missing. 0. Did you take that picture? Α. No, sir. Q. Is there a glass table with white powdery substance in that picture? Α. No, sir. That is not in this picture. So the picture that the defense introduced into evidence doesn't have a picture of a table with a white powdery substance? Α. No, sir. Q. You have no idea what date that was taken? Α. That is correct, sir. Finally, Defendant's E. 0. Yes, sir. Α. Q. What is that? Α. It's picture -- they are --What is Defendant's E? Q. 451 Lenox Avenue. Α.

	HERNANDEZ - RECROSS - DEFENSE
	HERNANDEZ - RECROSS - DEFENSE
1	THE COURT: With the nice laundromat
2	sign?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, they are.
4	Q. That pic you do not know when that was
5	taken?
6	A. I don't know, sir.
7	Q. But on November 1, 2007, there were no wires
8	in front of the building like there are in that
9	picture?
LO	A. No, I don't recall there being wires like this
11	hanging down.
12	MR. BERLAND: I have nothing further.
13	THE COURT: Further questions?
14	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY
15	MR. KEITH:
16	Q. Before November 1, 2007, before you entered
17	that fourth floor apartment, did you have any
18	information whatsoever that suggested that Mr. Edward
19	Green had anything to do with that operation or
20	whatever at 451 Lenox Avenue?
21	A. Well, I personally didn't, but I was not the
22	investigating officer.
23	THE COURT: So you didn't.
24	THE WITNESS: I did not.
25	Q. Have you

<u>-YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER </u>

=HERNANDEZ - RECROSS - DEFENSE= 1 THE COURT: He decided the shortest 2 truthful answer. The best answer is no. 3 MR. KEITH: That's what I was hoping for, Your Honor, just no. I didn't get that. 4 5 Α. No. 6 MR. KEITH: No further questions. 7 THE COURT: Anything else? 8 MR. BERLAND: No, I think we're good. 9 THE COURT: Forget the editorializing. 10 You're excused. 11 Call somebody else. 12 MR. BERLAND: The People call Detective 13 Anthony Romero to the stand. 14 COURT OFFICER: Witness entering. Remain 15 standing and face the clerk. 16 THE CLERK: Do you swear the testimony 17 you are about to give will be the truth, the whole 18 truth and nothing but the truth? 19 THE WITNESS: I do. 2.0 COURT OFFICER: State your name, spell 21 the last name, shield and command. 22 THE WITNESS: Detective Anthony Romero, 23 R-O-M-E-R-O, 5726, assigned to Manhattan North 24 Narcotics Major Case Team. 25 THE COURT: Go ahead.

409 ROMERO - DIRECT - PEOPLE= 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY 2 MR. BERLAND: 3 Q. Good afternoon, Detective Romero. 4 Good afternoon. Α. 5 How long have you been with the New York 0. 6 Police Department? 7 One month shy of 18 years. Α. 8 Q. How long, specifically, with Manhattan North 9 Narcotics? 10 Α. Twelve years, sir. 11 Q. What is your current rank? 12 Α. Detective second grade. 13 Q. How long have you been a detective with the 14 New York Police Department? 15 Α. Ten years. 16 Are you assigned to a specific unit within the Ο. 17 Manhattan North Narcotics? 18 Α. Yes, I am, the Major Case Unit. 19 Please tell the members of the jury some of 20 your duties and responsibility as a detective in the 21 Major Case Unit. 22 In the Major Case, we also assist all the

> other teams in the building with their investigations, we develop long-term cases, short- and long-term cases on larger targets and larger weight investigations.

> > =YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER =

23

24

25

I was

ROMERO - DIRECT - PEOPLE

Now, during your career, approximately how 1 0. 2 many arrests have you made? 3 More than five. Α. 4 Approximately how many -- when you say you Q. 5 assisted, how many would you say you assisted in? 6 Easily more than 2000. Α. 7 Q. Approximately how many of these arrests were 8 for narcotics possession? 9 I would say about 75 percent of those. Α. 10 What about the narcotics sale? 0. 11 Sale? I'd say at least 100 to 200. Α. 12 Have you had specialized training in the field Q. 13 of narcotics enforcement? 14 Numerous training. Α. 15 Q. Have you been trained in recognizing, pricing, 16 and packaging of narcotics in New York? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Please explain for the members of the jury 19 some of the training you've received. 20 Α. Initial training when a patrol officer. 21 in the street narcotics unit, and that was where I 22 learned how to identify sales, difference between 23 buyers and sellers, how drugs are packaged and price of

vigorous training; how to utilize undercovers, how to

drugs. Then, when I went to narcotics in '96, much more

24

ROMERO - DIRECT - PEOPLE = do investigations, how to identify larger drugs, how to work your way from making a simple arrest and working your way up in the investigation. Now, during your career, approximately how many search warrants have you conducted? Α. As the affiant? 0. Yes. About more than 50. Α. What does it mean to be the affiant of the Ο. search warrant? Α. I actually sweared out the search warrant in my name. How many of the search warrants that you have 0. sworn out were for narcotics or narcotics paraphernalia? Α. All of them. Let's move now to November 1, 2007. Were you working that day? Α. Yes, I was. Q. What was your assignment? I was the arresting officer on two search Α. warrants to be executed at 451 Lenox Avenue.

24 warrants? 25 I did. Α.

0.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Who from your unit obtained the two search

=ROMERO - DIRECT - PEOPLE=

- **4**) 1
 - 2
 - 3
 - 5
 - 6
 - 7
 - 9
 - 10
 - 11
 - 12
 - 13
 - 14
 - 15
 - 16
 - 17
 - 18
 - 19
 - 20
 - 2122
 - 23
 - 24
 - 25

- Q. When did you obtain them?
- A. I believe it was a few days prior, the 24th of October, I believe.

THE COURT: Both of them before the date of November 1st?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

- Q. Referring to the initial two search warrants?
- A. The initial two was for apartment one and third floor apartment.
 - Q. Where did you go to obtain the warrants?
 - A. To here, to Manhattan Supreme Court.
- Q. Did you meet with anyone to go over the information that would be included in the search warrant application?
 - A. I met with you, ADA Berland, to go over it.
 - O. Where did we meet?
 - A. Your office, this building here.
 - Q. Did there come a time we went before a judge?
 - A. Yes, we did.
 - Q. Who was that judge, if you recall?
 - A. Judge Solomon.
- Q. Did there come a time that you were given two search warrants authorizing the police to search two apartments?
 - A. Yes.

=ROMERO - DIRECT - PEOPLE=

1 Tell the jury about the location that you were Q. 2 authorized to search? 3 Α. The first search warrant was for apartment 4 one, only apartment on the second floor of 451 Lenox 5 Avenue, and what we called apartment two, which was on 6 the third floor of 451 Lenox Avenue. 7 Did there come a time, Detective, that you Q. 8 actually executed the two search warrants? 9 Yes -- No, I only executed one of the search 10 warrants? 11 Let's get back to November 1, 2007 now. Q. 12 Did there come a time that you and your 13 team went to 451 Lenox Avenue? 14 Α. Yes, we did. 15 Q. Was Detective Alfred Hernandez part of your 16 team? 17 Yes. Α. 18 Can you describe the layout of the building 19 for the jury as you observed it when you first arrived 20 at 451 Lenox Avenue? 21 451 Lenox Avenue is on the west side of Lenox Α. 22 Avenue between 132nd and 133rd Street. The south side 23 of the building houses a laundromat. Just to the north 24 of the laundromat is one single locked door going into

the upstairs residence.

24

25

1

2

- Q. Tell the jury what happened once your team or teams arrived at the location.
- Α. The outer door of the building is locked, so we utilized a walk-on, a member of our team that would be able to walk up to the building without racing up the neighborhood. He hung out in front of the building for a few minutes until somebody went to open the door. When they opened the door, he held the door opened and engaged the gentleman in conversation. At that time, we gave the move-in order. We are only a block away at the time. He stepped to the side. The first entry team which was going to the third floor went into the building first. I was the first person in the second The reason we did it that way was it was a entry team. narrow stairway. If the first team went in, the second team would never be able to get past it.
- Q. Did there come a time when you and your team entered the building?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Did there come a time when you made your way up to the second floor landing of the building?
 - A. Yes.

THE COURT: Did you know about the narrowness of the staircase before you got to the building that day?

ROMERO - DIRECT - PEOPLE=

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- Q. What did you do once you got to the second floor?
- A. The original plan was to use the ram to open the door. As I got to the first landing, the door was open. I entered the apartment with my field team following me.
- Q. Please tell the members of the jury what you observed once you entered the second floor apartment.
- A. Even before I entered the apartment, there was a mirror on the far wall. I could see a man sitting in a room just to the right of the doorway, who was Mr. Brown. As I went into the -- first I put him in custody. As we entered the apartment on a search warrant, we put each person in custody until we cleared the whole apartment.
- Q. Please take a look at what's in evidence as People's 1. Do you recognize that picture?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Who is it?
 - A. Steven Brown.
- Q. After Steven Brown was placed into custody, please tell the members of the grand jury --

THE COURT: Members of the jury.

Q. Please tell the members of Grand Jury -
YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER ----

THE COURT: They're group of grand people, not members of the Grand Jury.

- Q. -- what was recovered from inside the apartment?
- A. Initially, I recovered from a wooden box on a glass shelf 18 bags of cocaine and U.S. currency, \$965.
- Q. Did you notice a video surveillance system in place inside of the second floor apartment?
- A. Yes, there was a TV monitor. When I looked at the monitor, it was a split screen. I could see my field team -- my front security people out on the street.
- Q. At that time -- you said it was a split screen monitor. Explain the monitor.
- A. Half the screen showed the stairwell, and other half, the front of the building.
- Q. When you were looking at the monitor, could you see anything in the stairwell?
- A. Just the stairs. All personnel where they were assigned already.
- Q. So there was nobody on the stairs at that point?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you later come to learn, Detective, whether or not there was a video surveillance system in

=ROMERO - DIRECT - PEOPLE:



any other apartment or rooms in the building?

- A. Yes, we later found -- we followed wires to a second apartment.
 - Q. Where was the second apartment located?
- A. On the fourth floor, the southwest corner of the building.
- Q. Were you considered the vouchering detective on the case?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. As the vouchering detective, were you responsible for safeguarding all the narcotics and paraphernalia that was recovered in conjunction with the search warrant execution?
 - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. What did you personally do with all of the narcotics and paraphernalia recovered?
- A. When I returned to the building after obtaining the third search warrant, I then, with Detective Hernandez, we loaded all of the property into my van and we took it back to our office at 147th Street.
 - Q. Was it placed inside of a secured location?
 - A. Yes, it was brought to my office.
- Q. And do you know where the narcotics and evidence is sent from there?

-ROMERO - DIRECT - PEOPLE=

- A. From there, after vouchering, each thing is sent to a different place, the narcotics, the drugs are sent to the police lab which is out in Jamaica, the other property is sent to the police headquarters.
- Q. Did there come a time that you retrieved this property from the different secured locations?
 - A. Yes, I did.

- Q. When was this?
- A. Yesterday -- excuse me, yesterday and the day before.
- Q. What did you do with the property after you picked it up?
- A. Brought it all to your office and secured it there.
- Q. Detective Romero, did there come a time that you went up to the fourth floor at 451 Lenox Avenue?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Once you got to the fourth floor, did you attempt to -- what did you see when you got up to the fourth floor?
- A. The first time I went up to the fourth floor or -
 - o. Yes.
- A. Do you want me to explain what led us up to the fourth floor?

- Q. What led you up to the fourth floor?
- A. Originally, the second team who was executing the search warrant which was identified as apartment two on the third floor, the leader of the floor team came down and asked me which apartment. At that time, I went back upstairs with the lieutenant and realized that there were four apartments on each floor. The outer door was a locked door.

That was a separate apartment, and at that time, I decided that we were not going to execute the search warrant because we were not totally sure which apartment it was. At that time, the lieutenant had people from the field team on the fourth floor and third floor just securing the floor.

I went back downstairs to continue the search in apartment one on the second floor. At that time, I recovered keys from Mr. Brown's jacket, and myself and Detective Hernandez and my supervisor went back up to the fourth floor. At the same time --

Let me step back one step. When we originally -- I had mentioned that I informed the field team when we first entered the apartment that I was able to see them on the outside on the street. What we do is take the cameras with us. So we identified where the camera was at in front of the building.

-ROMERO - DIRECT - PEOPLE:

We do it by the radio. I tell the officer in front to keep looking and I tell him when he's looking exactly in the camera so he has a direction to start searching. So they found the camera and told me the cable went up to the top of the building.

My rear security informed me that there were cables going into the windows of the back of that apartment. That's what brought us back up to the fourth floor. Also, the lieutenant said when they opened — when they breached the door on the fourth floor with the ram, that they heard a door slam on the fourth floor.

Q. Did he say anything about running and then a door slamming, if you recall?

MR. KEITH: Objection. Leading.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q. What did the lieutenant say?
- A. Heard somebody on the stairs and heard a door slam.
- Q. Once you -- now, once you had a target door on the fourth floor with the wire leading into it, what did you do?
- A. Took the keys I recovered from Mr. Brown, and tried it in the lock. The lock turned. I went to open

the door, Detective Hernandez said -- when we enter a search warrant apartment, we enter it with a full plastic bunker. Detective Hernandez suggested that I go down and get the bunker. He and the lieutenant stayed there at the door. We relocked the door. Also, at that time we're knocking on the door, announcing ourselves, police. No one answered. I go down and I get a bunker and flashlight and come back up, then we open the door, swing the door open and I enter bunker first with the flashlight because it was pitch black in the apartment.

- Q. Why did you use a bunker when you opened the door?
- A. It's procedure, but it's a ballistic bunker. If something were to happen, fire on us, the bunker takes the hit and not us.
- Q. Before you opened the door, you said you knocked on it. Approximately, how many times would you say you knocked on the door?
- A. Numerous doors, announcing who we were, if there was anybody in the apartment, to answer, to come out, and there was no reply.
- Q. When you say "numerous times," do you mean more than ten?
 - A. Yes.

1 Q. More than 20?

- A. Well, the entry team that originally breached the third floor door, they thought they were going into an apartment. What we yell is police, search warrant. I knocked on the door. I loudly said it was the police, open the door. No one answered. Numerous times. Once I came back upstairs with the bunker, announce it again, and still no reply.
- Q. So when you opened the door, explain to the jury -- I know you sad it was dark. Explain the lighting conditions.
 - A. Pitch black in the apartment.
 - Q. Couldn't see anything?
 - A. Nothing at all.
 - Q. What did you do next?
- A. The door swang (sic) from right to left, and I entered the apartment. As soon as I took a step into the apartment with the light, I could see Mr. Green sitting on the couch.
- Q. When you say with the lighting, referring to the flashlight or the lights in the apartment?
- A. There's lights on the front of the bunker. You squeeze the handle and it lights up.
- Q. Did there come a time that you turned the lights on to the room on the fourth floor?

=ROMERO - DIRECT - PEOPLE:

1 Α. Yes -- I don't believe I did because my 2 hands -- I had a bunker in one hand, the gun in the 3 other hand. I think it was Detective Hernandez. 4 Do you recall if there was a light switch or 5 bulb that had to be turned? 6 It was definitely a switch. I believe it was a Α. 7 switch on the wall. 8 Would you tell the jury what you observed at the moment the lights to the room were turned on? 9 10 Very small room. The room, I would say, is 11 about the width of the jury box and half the length, 12 and Mr. Green was sitting on the couch staring -- the 13 couch was on the same wall as where I entered, and he 14 was staring at the wall with no response. 15 0. Do you see Edward Green in the courtroom here 16 today? 17 Α. Yes, I do. 18 THE COURT: Sorry. You said he was to the 19 door's right? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: When you ultimately looked in 22 his direction, he was not looking at you. 23 THE WITNESS: No, sir. This was the 24 He'd be sitting right where your books are, 25 facing away from me.

-ROMERO	_	DIRECT	_	PEOPLE
1/01/11/1/0		DIVECT	_	

- Q. So you weren't face-to-face with Mr. Green?
- A. Not until I walked up on him.
- Q. When you first saw him, you were not face-to-face, you were still speaking to him and announcing who you were?
- A. Once I saw him, I stopped right there and ordered him to get to the ground and he didn't move.
- Q. Did he turn his head at all and look towards you?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you see Edward Green in the courtroom here today?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Please point to him and indicate an article of clothing.
 - A. Sitting right there and wearing a white shirt.

 MR. BERLAND: Let the record reflect the witness has identified the defendant.

THE COURT: Sure.

- Q. I'm handing you what has been marked for identification as People's Exhibit 28. Do you recognize this?
- A. This is Mr. Green as he looked on the date of the arrest.
 - Q. So does it fairly and accurately depict the

 YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER

```
=ROMERO - DIRECT - PEOPLE=
 1
        way he looked on November 1, 2007?
 2
            Α.
                 Yes.
 3
                      MR. BERLAND: I ask that the photograph
 4
            be moved into evidence.
 5
                      MR. KEITH: Can I see that?
 6
                      THE COURT: Show it to the defense,
 7
            please.
 8
                      MR. BERLAND: Oh, I'm sorry.
 9
                        (HANDING.)
10
                      THE COURT: Any objection?
11
                      MR. KEITH:
                                  No.
12
                      THE COURT: 28 is received.
13
                      (People's Exhibit 28 was received in
14
        evidence.)
15
                      THE COURT: We'll mark it more formally
16
            later.
                     Please continue.
17
                 Please tell the members of the jury what you
       observed inside of this tiny fourth floor room.
18
19
                 Like I said, to the right was a couch with
20
       Mr. Green sitting on it, table in the corner. In front
21
        of me was like a work desk. Underneath it, there was
22
       paraphernalia all over. There was a heat sealer on the
23
       floor. There was cocaine residue in the desk top.
24
       There was Baggies on top of what was like a fake
25
        fireplace mantel. Once we had him in custody, we
                 _YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER _
```

-ROMERO - DIRECT - PEOPLE=

22

23

24

25

finished to clear the room. There was one closed door, which turned out to be a closet and in the closet were two safes.

- Q. The closed door, was there a lock on it?
- A. No.
- Q. Did there come a time that the closet was open?
- A. Yes, to make sure there was no one else in the apartment.
 - Q. What, if anything, was located in that closet?
 - A. Two safes.
 - Q. What happened next? What did you do?
- A. At that time, I spoke with my lieutenant and we -- I went down to see Mr. Berland to obtain a search warrant for that apartment.
- Q. Did there come a time that you obtained a supplemental search warrant?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. What did you do upon obtaining a warrant?
 - A. After I obtained it.
 - Q. Yes.
- A. I then called Detective Hernandez, who was securing the apartment for me and told him to begin the search, that we had a search warrant for that apartment.

- ROMERO DIRECT PEOPLE 1 Did there come a time that you went back to Q. 2 451 Lenox Avenue? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Tell the members of the jury what you observed Q. 5 once you got back there. 6 In apartment one, I let Detective Salvatore, Α. 7 another member of the team, they finished the search of 8 that apartment and went through all the evidence with 9 Detective Salvatore, where everything was located and I 10 took possession of that property. I then went upstairs 11 to Detective Hernandez and they were just about 12 finishing up. And they -- once again, he was the 13 recovering officer and he showed me where everything 14 was and what they recovered and then transported 15 everything back. 16 Q. When you say Detective Hernandez was the 17 recovering officer, are you referring to the property 18 solely in the fourth floor room? 19 Correct. Α. 20 21 defendant? 22
 - By the way, was any money recovered from the
 - Α. \$333.

24

25

- From where? 0.
- I believe his pants pocket. Α.
- Had you ever met Steven Brown before Q.

-romero - direct - people=

1 November 1, 2007?

- A. No.
- Q. Had you ever met the defendant, Edward Green, before November 1, 2007?
 - A. No, sir.

MR. BERLAND: I have no further questions. May I ask two follow-up questions?

THE COURT: Sure.

Go ahead.

- Q. From the money recovered from Steven Brown, Edward Green and the two apartments, was that vouchered?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Once money is vouchered, what happens to it?
- A. There's three reasons to voucher money; for safekeeping, arrest evidence or forfeiture. In the case of proceeds of narcotics sale, we take the money forfeiture, process it for forfeiture.
- Q. The physical money when you came back to see --
- A. We get a receipt from the bank. If we take it for arrest evidence, it's perforated at headquarters and kept intact taken for forfeiture, but put back in the system and we get a record from the bank.

MR. BERLAND: Nothing further.

=YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER =

14

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17 18

1920

21

22

23

24

----ROMERO -CROSS - DEFENSE -

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY				
2	MR. KEITH:				
3	Q. Good afternoon.				
4	A. Good afternoon.				
5	Q. How old are you?				
6	A. Forty-nine years old.				
7	Q. What's your educational background?				
8	A. High school diploma and one year of college.				
9	Q. Directing your attention to November 1, 2007.				
10	After entering the fourth floor apartment and seeing				
11	Mr. Green, there came a time where you went to get a				
12	search warrant for that apartment; isn't that correct?				
13	A. That is correct, sir.				
14	Q. And you went and you spoke with Assistant				
15	District Attorney Berland?				
16	A. That is correct, sir.				
17	Q. And you sat down with him and you told him				
18	truthfully and honestly the observations you made				
19	at 451 Lenox Avenue; isn't that correct?				
20	A. That is correct, sir.				
21	Q. You were in his office?				
22	A. Yes.				
23	Q. Was he taking notes?				
24	A. He took notes to write out the affidavit for				
25	the warrant, yes, sir.				

=ROMERO -CROSS - DEFENSE =

So he's writing on a paper or notepad or 1 Ο. 2 something to that effect? 3 I believe he typed it into the computer which 4 prints out the affidavit. 5 He was sitting down typing onto the computer 6 as you were describing to him the activities at 451 7 Lenox Avenue? 8 Α. I believe first I described to him just as I 9 just did and then he wrote the affidavit and asked 10 questions as he was writing it. 11 And you were with him for approximately an 12 hour, isn't that correct? 13 Α. I believe so. 14 When he finished the affidavit, you had a 15 chance to read it over? 16 Of course. Α. 1.7 You signed it? Q. 18 Α. Yes, I did -- I signed it in front of the 19 judge. 20 This is the second affidavit before Criminal Ο. 21 Court judge, Judge White? 22 That's correct. Α. 23 In your review of that affidavit, was it Q. 24 accurate and complete?

I believe so, yes.

25

Α.

=ROMERO -CROSS - DEFENSE=

- Q. Now, I believe on direct examination you indicated that when you were in the second floor apartment, you recovered some keys from Steven Brown; isn't that correct?
 - A. From Mr. Brown's jacket, yes.
- Q. And to be accurate and precise, would it be fair to say that you recovered the keys from a jacket that was on a chair near Mr. Brown?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Describe again what was done with those keys.
- A. I took keys and I went up to the fourth floor and I tried the door in the southwest apartment of that building.
- Q. That was the first thing that was done with the keys?
- A. Yes. I took possession of them and I went back up to the fourth floor with a supervisor and Detective Hernandez.
- Q. Isn't it true that in the affidavit that you gave the judge to get the search warrant, you indicated in the affidavit that was prepared by ADA Berland and yourself that the keys were first taken by a member of your team to the apartments on the third floor, and each of those apartments, they tried to open the doors to those apartments, isn't that what you indicated to

1		
_		

the judge?

A. I tried those apartments after I made entry into the apartment. I didn't do it beforehand. I only did it afterwards to confirm that the key only opened the door on the fourth floor.

- Q. You told the judge the other way around, you told the judge in the affidavit that you went to the third floor first?
- A. I don't believe I tried the keys. I had to go through the third floor to get to the fourth floor.

MR. BERLAND: Your Honor, can we approach?

THE COURT: No. Very, very limited amount of this is even arguably admissible in front of the jury, since I have told them a couple of times that nothing related to the execution of the search warrant has anything to do with them, their ability to make the decision. A search warrant is so complicated, that no jury is asked to deal with it.

MR. KEITH: Your Honor, I'm not asking the jury to have any consideration with regard to the search warrant.

THE COURT: Objection sustained.

MR. KEITH: May we approach?

=ROMERO -CROSS - DEFENSE=

THE COURT: Sure.

2.0

(Whereupon, a sidebar conference was held on the record out of the hearing of the jury.)

THE COURT: I gather that Exhibit F that started this is the affidavit itself?

MR. KEITH: Yes.

THE COURT: What is it that you wanted to come over with?

MR. KEITH: I just wanted to attack his credibility. He says one thing to you, he says another thing to Judge Whiten, another thing to the jury. The search warrant is totally irrelevant. It's just his inconsistency. The lack of credibility.

THE COURT: Suppose all of that happened, suppose we did that for an hour and a half and you were successful as you could possibly be and became a teary-eyed jellyfish, what would you then say to the jury in the summation? I can't attack his credibility. Please answer my question.

MR. KEITH: Well, Your Honor, ultimately, I guess the People have going to argue that because of the way the stuff was situated in the room, that somehow that imputes knowledge to Mr. Green.

=ROMERO -CROSS - DEFENSE =

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. KEITH: I think Detective Hernandez mischaracterized what he saw in the room. I think that credibility has become an issue in this case. They just didn't bring it out the way it happened.

THE COURT: Well, then if you can show me a Court of Appeals case that says that as in a Huntley hearing, as with a Wade hearing, notwithstanding a decision by a judge in a hearing specifically constituted to deal with the credibility issues related to the application for a search warrant, notwithstanding that, that a jury gets a second bite at the search warrant procedure the way they would a second bite at a Huntley hearing and Wade hearing, I will yield.

In the absence of your ability to show me a case that says anything other than what I think both of us know the law is, you can't do what you want to go do because there's nothing that you could do with it and there's nothing that the jury can evaluate, because as I said, suppose you were successful, this isn't the place to attack the credibility of the search warrant.

MR. KEITH: You can't let this officer come in here and just say what he wants to say

=ROMERO -CROSS - DEFENSE=

when you know --

THE COURT: How do I know? I wasn't there.

MR. KEITH: You read the search warrant. We have dealt with this in the Darden-type hearing. You asked him questions. He gave you answers that are completely different than what he is giving me right now.

THE COURT: I don't remember completely different. I remember having a certain disparaging comment or two about this witness, but ultimately the decision was as it was.

If in fact you said that the facts about the placement of the stuff in the fourth floor is inaccurate because I guess your client says he saw the police move the stuff or bring it from someplace else, your conviction is to put him on the stand. The objection is sustained.

MR. KEITH: Your Honor, with regard to the affidavit, they had to go to Judge Whiten with some information in order to get him to sign the warrant. Some of the information is the observations of the officer when he goes up to the fourth floor. That's in the affidavit.

THE COURT: Like what? I don't remember = YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER = ______

everything. They turn the key.

MR. KEITH: He describes how the search was conducted. They do everything on third floor, go to the fourth floor, enter the room and make certain observations. The observations in the affidavit are different than what he testified to.

THE COURT: Can you show me?

MR. KEITH: Paragraphs 13 and 14.

MR. BERLAND: There's nothing different.

THE COURT: According to the affidavit, the detective witness swore to in front of Judge Whiten, paragraph 13 says a member of the team unsuccessfully attempted to open locked rooms on the third floor with the keys recovered from Mr. Brown. I'm not -- I'm read it quickly. I don't know whether there's language in here that says what Mr. Keith assumes, namely that that's the sequence. Let's assume that's the sequence.

MR. KEITH: Just read the next sentence. He says somebody else did. He's saying he did it.

THE COURT: I don't think that's a big deal.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ KEITH: It is as far as being precise.

THE COURT: The objection is still EYVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER

2

3 4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

sustained.

(Whereupon, the sidebar conference concluded and the proceedings continued in open court as follows:)

THE COURT: Go ahead.

- Q. Detective Romero, I think you indicated on direct examination that you were the person that put the key into the lock of the door on to the fourth floor and tried it and then decided not to go in; is that correct?
 - Α. That is correct, sir.
- Is your recollection clear on that? Is that Ο. the way you remember it?
 - That's the way I remember, sir.
- On the night of the incident, isn't it correct Q. that your affidavit to the judge --

MR. BERLAND: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- 0. You indicated that you and members of the executing team then back up to the fourth floor of the target building and you observed a member of the team place one of the keys recovered from JD mustache, who is also Steven Brown, inside of the doorknob to the target premises?
 - I opened the door, I turned the key in the

door.

Q. Didn't you --

THE COURT: The night that you swore in front of Judge White, the affidavit says that you saw somebody do it.

- A. No, I did it. The member of my team turned the key to open to the door when we made entry because I had no hands available. The key was in the door. I originally tested the door and then when we were ready to go into the apartment, Detective Hernandez had to -- 'cause there was no way to turn the key; firearm in my right hand and shield in my left hand.
- Q. You are saying Detective Hernandez turned the key?
- A. I turned it the first time and saw the door open. Went to open the door, and Detective Hernandez said to me we should get a bunker. I closed the door and locked it again, leaving the key in the door. Went downstairs to get a bunker and flashlight and then came back. When we went to go in, I was holding a bunker in my left hand, firearm in my right hand, so Detective Hernandez had to turn the key to open the door.
- Q. Now, other than preparing that sworn affidavit on the date that you obtained the search warrant,

=ROMERO -CROSS - DEFENSE = there's been other occasions in this case where you've 1 given sworn testimony; isn't that correct? 2 3 Α. Yes, there is. 4 Ο. And do you recall that you testified before 5 the Grand Jury? 6 Α. Yes, I did. 7 And did you tell the truth when you testified Q. 8 before the Grand Jury? 9 Yes, I did. Α. 10 0. And there was also a pretrial hearing in which 11 you gave testimony to Justice McLaughlin. Do you 12 recall testifying in that hearing? 13 Yes, I did. Α. 14 Q. Did you tell Justice McLaughlin the truth? 15 Α. Yes, I did. 16 Q. Now, page 306, starting at line --17 THE COURT: Of which proceeding. 18 MR. KEITH: Of the hearing with you, 19 Your Honor. Let's start line 19, page 36. Do you 20 recall being asked these questions and giving 21 these answers? 22 23

24

25

Question by Justice McLaughlin: "You said inside the room on the fourth floor where the fellow was, he was sitting in the room on a couch?"

Z 1

Your answer: "Yes, sir."

Justice McLaughlin: "Did you open the lock that got you in there or was it somebody else whom you watched do it?"

You answered: "No, I opened the door.

Before we did it, I noticed the key turn, I banged on the door, announced it was the police, if anyone was in there to come out. There was no response. I did it again. I opened the door. The room was totally dark. I shined my flashlight in the room. So the gentleman sitting on the floor was crouched, just sitting there in the dark. At that time, we took him into custody, brought him out. Once we turned the lights on in the apartment, that's when we saw all the paraphernalia."

Do you recall being asked those questions and giving that answer?

- A. Yes I do, sir.
- Q. As you sit here now, what's the truth?
- A. Exactly what you just read. There is a difference between turning the key and opening the door once the key is turned. Detective Hernandez is behind me. I would not unlock the door, as I said before, because my hands were full. Detective Hernandez turned

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

22

23

24

25

the lock to unlock the door. What I mean by opening the door is with the force of my body, I hit the door and entered the apartment.

- I believe you told the judge you shined the flashlight?
 - There is a flashlight built into the bunker. Α.
 - You didn't tell the judge about the bunker? Q.
 - Α. It's a flashlight, sir.

THE COURT: Let me tell the jury what is If a person is thought to have given somewhat or completely different testimony on the same subject in an earlier proceeding, the jury is entitled to know that for two reasons. One, so that you could assess credibility. If you decide there is a variance, then you get to decide whether the variance affects your assessment on credibility on issues you will be asked to decide. Continue.

- Now, with regard to this TV monitor or television or what have you on the fourth floor, did there come a time that day that you saw that television operate, did you see it on at any time?
- After we tested the TV -- both TVs were off. Α. The monitor and television below were off when we entered the room. When they turned them both on, the

=ROMERO -CROSS - DEFENSE = lower T.V. was hooked to the DVD and the top monitor was all fuzzed because the two cameras had already been removed by my field team outside after I directed them to the cameras. As you sit there right now, that's your recollection? Yes, it is, sir. Α. Now, again, I direct your attention to the Q. hearing we had when you were questioned by Justice McLaughlin. I asked if you recall being asked these questions and giving the answers. Page 37, line 14. monitor on?"

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

"Did you ever figure out how to turn the

And you answered: "Yes."

And the Judge asked you: "When you turned it on, could you see the street?"

And you answered: "Yes."

Do you recall being asked those questions and giving those answers to Judge McLaughlin?

- Α. Yes. The only monitor that I saw the street was from apartment two -- apartment one on the second floor.
- Q. These questions were specifically about the about monitor on the fourth floor?
 - Α. As I said before, when I turned on the monitor =YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER =

4

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20 21

2.2

23

24

25

on the fourth floor the cameras were already disconnected and the monitor didn't work, so ...

Q. When you told the judge that you see the street back in this hearing, you were mistaken or lying --

> MR. BERLAND: Objection.

THE COURT: He's giving him options. Did I use fourth floor in the question or was it obvious in the question that that was the fourth floor?

> MR. KEITH: Yes, Your Honor.

Going back to Page 36, I will repeat the Q. answers from the previous question.

"Did you open the lock that got you in or was it somebody else you watched do it?

No, I opened the door. Before we did, once I noticed the key turn, I banged on the door, announced it was the police, if anybody was in there, to come out. There was no response. I did it again, opened the door, the room was totally dark, I shined my flashlight. The gentleman sitting in the room was crouched sitting in the dark. At the time we placed him in custody and brought him out. Once we turned the light on in the apartment, that's when we saw the

```
=ROMERO -CROSS - DEFENSE=
 1
            paraphernalia."
 2
                      Your Honor asked him: "Among the
 3
            paraphernalia was the TV monitor?"
 4
                      The witness: "Correct."
 5
                      Your Honor asked: "Was it working."
 6
                     He says: "No. Everything was off. The
 7
            room was totally black at the time."
 8
                     Your Honor asked him: "Did you ever
 9
            figure out how to turn the monitor on?"
10
                     He says: "Yes."
11
                      "When you turned it on, could you see the
12
            street?"
13
                     He said: "Yes."
14
                     THE COURT: So same instructions that I
15
            gave you a minute ago.
16
                     THE WITNESS: Understanding it was my
17
            mistake. The only monitor I saw the street on was
18
            the monitor on the second floor because I knew the
19
            cameras had already been disconnected.
20
                 It's fair to say then that you are assuming
            Ο.
21
       that that TV monitor on the fourth floor was able to
22
       see the street? You never saw it working; is that the
23
       truth?
24
            Α.
                That's correct.
25
                Now, with regard to -- I believe you said
            Q.
```

2

3 4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

there was a wire that went from the camera to the fourth floor; is that correct?

- Α. My front security people told me that the wires, once they found the camera outside, that it went all the way up to the fourth floor and over. My rear security man, we have people in the front and rear just in case something comes out of windows, people try to flee from windows, he told me the cable were running into that apartment, into the fourth floor.
- 0. The front security people are people standing on Lenox Avenue?
- Front security on Lenox Avenue, rear security Α. behind the building, yes, sir.
- This was front security? I believe that's what you said.
- Front security told me they went to the top of Α. the building and the rear security told me it went in the back of the apartment.
- 0. So I'm sorry, what did front security tell you?
- When they found the camera, the wires from the Α. camera went up to the top of the building, which led up to the fourth floor. The rear security then told me that it went into the back corner apartment.
 - Q. Let me show you what has been marked

Defendant's Exhibit I in evidence. Before I show it to you, that day or some point in time during the investigation of 451 Lenox Avenue, did you look at the front of the building?

- A. Numerous times.
- Q. I'd like you to take a look at what has been marked Defendant's Exhibit I in evidence.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you recognize what's in that item?
 - A. This is the front of the building.
 - Q. It doesn't show the entrance to the building?
 - A. No.
- Q. Looking at that photograph carefully, would you say it fairly and accurately represents the front of the building as it appeared on November 1, 2007?
 - A. No.
 - Q. What's different?
- A. Well, first off it was November, there were no air conditioners in the windows, and all the cables weren't there.
- Q. There were no air conditioners in the windows. That's what your recollection is?
- A. I don't remember there was air conditioners being that it was November, and they didn't have all cables on the front of the building.

- Q. So, what did your front security team see? Do you recall?
- A. They told me there was a cable going from the camera up to top of the building and then when rear security also heard that on the radio, he told me the cable was going into the rear apartment.
- Q. From looking at that photograph, do you have an idea of where the camera was?
- A. No, sir. I was in the building when it was recovered, but it was facing --
- Q. From your information, when you were on the second floor and able to see certain things. Based on what you were able to see, where were the camera?
 - A. Inside the awning.
- Q. The front security team said there was a cable running from the camera up to the fourth floor?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Look carefully at the picture. Do you see such a cable that could possibly correspond with the description that was given to you by your front security?
- A. There is one cable that doesn't lead to any of the front apartments, yes, but I'm not sure that was the cable at the time.
 - Q. Your testimony is with regard to the other

 YVETTE PACHECO SENIOR COURT REPORTER

ROMERO -CROSS - DEFENSE = cables in the picture, you do not recall seeing them on 1 2 November 1, 2007? 3 Α. That's correct, sir. 4 Q. I believe you also indicated that in that 5 picture you see air conditioning devices around. 6 weren't there also on November 1, 2007? 7 Α. I don't remember, no. 8 Q. Now, Detective Romero, as the arresting 9 officer, you prepared the majority of the paperwork in 10 this case? 11 With assistance from my team, yes. Α. 12 Q. 13 there was a wallet recovered from him? 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Do you recall, with regard to Mr. Green, that
- I'm not sure, sure. I didn't -- I was down getting a search warrant when he was being processed at the processing facility at the 2-5 Precinct.
- Ο. Do you recall Mr. Green having a New York State identification card with a Bronx address?
- I don't remember his exact ID, but he gave me an Ogden Avenue address in the Bronx.
 - When he gave you that, he told you that? Q.
 - That's the pedigree he gave my team. Α.
 - Q. What do you mean by "team"?
- Like I just said, after he was put into Α. custody, he was removed from the apartment, I left the

-romero -cross - defense=

apartment, I left the building at that time and went down to 100 Centre Street to obtain a search warrant. The rest of the search team processed the arrest. I was down here when he was being processed.

THE COURT: Processing, the police ask

THE COURT: Processing, the police ask date of birth, name, address among other things?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

THE COURT: One of the team members asked him and he said Ogden Avenue in the Bronx?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

- Q. That's what you were told?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You don't recall the wallet being recovered from him?
- A. No, sir. All I really did was just check him fast for weapons, handcuffed him, and then went, like I said, to come down here.
- Q. The \$338 recovered from him, you don't recall that being in a wallet?
- A. I know he had money on him, and it was vouchered by one of the detectives who processed him. I believe it was recovered from him at the processing center.
- Q. But you just don't recall the wallet or the identification?

2.0

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

2

3

- Α. Like I said, sir, I don't.
- Just to be clear, with regard to the items 0. that were in open view, is it fair to say that there was a table with the glass top that had on it what appeared to be cocaine residue or white powder?
 - Α. That is correct.
- And there was also a digital scale with some 0. white powder on it?
- Numerous scales, but, yes, right on the table there was a scale with residue.
- A scale where? Where is your recollection of Q. there being a scale that was out in the open?
- Α. I believe it was on the work table. I'm not There was a bunch of scales.
 - There was a bunch of scales out in the open? Ο.
 - No, recovered from the apartment.
- I'm saying with regard to what you used to get Q. the search warrant and what your recollection is when you went into the fourth floor apartment, just so the jury will know what was out in the open, there was one digital scale out in the open?
- I'm not sure exactly. I would look at the Α. inventory and see where everything was.
- Would it refresh your recollection to look at the search warrant affidavit?

		451
	ROMERO -CROSS - DEFENSE	
A. It	would be better to look.	
Q. Exc	use me?	
A. The	re is an inventory done by Detective	
Hernandez tha	at lays out everything in the apartment.	
Q. Rig	ht, that's the other stuff. I'm talking	
about the st	uff that was out in the open. The plain	
view		
A. Eve	rything recovered in the apartment was	
listed on that	at inventory.	
Q. Rig	ht, I understand that.	
	THE COURT: Was it listed where it was	
located,	and the conditions under which and	
circumst	cances in which it was found?	
	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	
Q. On	the voucher?	
A. No,	on the inventory that Detective Hernand	.ez
did of the ro	oom.	
Q. On	the handwritten note?	
A. Cor	rect.	
Q. When	n was that inventory prepared?	

A. After the search warrant was signed and then they conducted the search.

Q. So it was prepared that day?

A. Correct.

THE COURT: Prepared in the apartment or